arXiv:1106.3890v1 [math.AG] 20 Jun 2011

BUNDLES OF GENERALIZED THETA FUNCTIONS OVER ABELIAN SURFACES

DRAGOS OPREA

ABSTRACT. We study the bundles of generalized theta functions constructed from moduli spaces of sheaves over abelian surfaces. The splitting type of these bundles is conjecturally expressed in terms of a new class of semihomogeneous bundles. The conjecture is confirmed in degree zero. Fourier-Mukai symmetries of the Verlinde bundles are found, consistently with strange duality. A version of *level 1* strange duality is established.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Overview 2
1.2. Moduli of sheaves and their Albanese maps 3
1.3. Theta bundles 4
1.4. Relating different theta bundles 4
1.5. Verlinde numbers 4
1.6. Bundles of generalized theta functions 5
1.7. Semihomogeneous bundles 5
1.8. Action of torsion points on generalized theta functions 6
1.9. Explicit expressions for the Verlinde bundles 7
1.10. Fourier-Mukai symmetries 8
1.11. Strange duality 8
1.12. Level 1 strange duality 8
1.13. Comparison to the case of curves 9
1.14. Outline 9
1.15. Acknowledgements 9
2. Preliminaries on theta bundles 9
2.1. Setup 10
2.2. Properties of the theta bundles 11
2.3. Theta bundles and étale pullbacks 16
2.4. Construction of the strange duality map 19

2.5. Vanishing of higher cohomology 21
3. A class of semihomogeneous bundles relevant to strange duality 22
3.1. Admissible triples 22
3.2. Semihomogeneous bundles 23
3.3. Properties of semihomogeneous bundles 25
3.4. Pullbacks under isogenies 28
4. Applications to strange duality 30
4.1. Étale pullbacks in the setting of strange duality 30
4.2. Level 1 strange duality 34
4.3. Conjectural description of the Verlinde bundles 36
4.4. Invariance under twists 39
5. The Verlinde bundles in degree 0 41
5.1. Setup 41
5.2. Group actions 41
5.3. Trace calculations 43
5.4. The calculation of the Verlinde bundles 54
5.5. Fourier-Mukai symmetries 56
5.6. Variants 56
Appendix: Torsion points on abelian varieties 62
References 67

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Overview.** This paper is a sequel to [MO], and in some sense to [Po], and relies on the techniques of [O1] and [O2]. The general theme is the analogy between the strange duality proposal for curves and abelian surfaces. Our main results are:

(i) we discuss how theta bundles over moduli of sheaves on abelian surfaces depend on the reference sheaf, analogously to the case of curves considered in [DN];
(ii) we recast the strange duality conjecture in terms of Fourier-Mukai transforms and Verlinde bundles, as in [Po];
(iii) we introduce a new class of semihomogeneous vector bundles, relevant to the study of the Verlinde bundles;
(iv) we formulate conjectures about the splitting type of the Verlinde bundles;

(v) we confirm our conjectures in degree 0. In particular, we determine the action of torsion points on the space of generalized theta functions;

(vi) we prove a version of the *"level* 1*"* strange duality isomorphism for abelian surfaces.

We now detail the discussion.

1.2. **Moduli of sheaves and their Albanese maps.** To set the stage, consider a complex polarized abelian surface (A, H), and fix the Mukai type v of sheaves over A. The following assumptions will be made throughout:

(**A.1**) the Picard rank of A is 1;

(**A.2**) the vector v is primitive, and furthermore the Mukai self pairing

$$d_v = \frac{1}{2}\langle v, v\rangle$$

is an odd positive integer.

Three moduli spaces of Gieseker H-semistable sheaves over A may be considered:

(i) the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_v^+$ of sheaves with fixed determinant;

(ii) the space $\mathfrak{M}_v^-$ of sheaves with fixed determinant of their Fourier-Mukai;

(iii) the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_v$ of sheaves with arbitrary determinant and arbitrary determinant of their Fourier-Mukai.

These moduli spaces come equipped with morphisms to their Albanese varieties:

(i) the morphism taking sheaves to the determinants of their Fourier-Mukai

$$\alpha^+ : \mathfrak{M}_v^+ \to A;$$

(ii) the morphism taking sheaves to their determinants

$$\alpha^- : \mathfrak{M}_v^- \to \widehat{A};$$

(iii) the product morphism of (i) and (ii)

$$\alpha = (\alpha^+, \alpha^-) : \mathfrak{M}_v \to A \times \widehat{A}.$$

The common fibers over the origin of the three morphisms will be denoted by K_v. It is known that K_v is a holomorphic symplectic manifold of dimension $2d_v - 2$, deformation equivalent to the generalized Kummer variety of the same dimension [Y1].

1.3. **Theta bundles.** We consider the natural theta line bundles over these moduli spaces. Assume that w is a primitive Mukai vector orthogonal to v in the sense that in K-theory we have

$$\chi(v\cdot w)=0.$$

Pick a representative $F\to A$ of the Mukai vector w, and construct the Fourier-Mukai transform of F with kernel the universal sheaf $\mathcal{E}\to\mathfrak{M}_v\times A$:[1]

$$\Theta_w=\det\mathbf{R}p_!(\mathcal{E}\otimes^{\mathbf{L}}q^\star F)^{-1}.$$

Generalized theta functions are sections of Θ_w over any of the four moduli spaces K_v, $\mathfrak{M}_v^+$, $\mathfrak{M}_v^-$ and $\mathfrak{M}_v$ considered above.

1.4. **Relating different theta bundles.** The notation $\Theta_w\to\mathfrak{M}_v$ is slightly imprecise, since in our context the bundles Θ_F do depend on the choice of representative F. For the purposes of the introduction, this ambiguity will be ignored[2]. The following result, paralleling the Drézet-Narasimhan theorem for bundles over curves [DN], controls this imprecision:

Theorem 1. *For two sheaves F_1 and F_2 of the same Mukai vector orthogonal to v we have*

$$\Theta_{F_1}=\Theta_{F_2}\otimes\left((-1)\circ\alpha^+\right)^\star\left(\det F_1\otimes\det F_2^{-1}\right)\otimes\left((-1)\circ\alpha^-\right)^\star\left(\det\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F_1)\otimes\det\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F_2)^{-1}\right).$$

Here

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}:\mathbf{D}(A)\to\mathbf{D}(\widehat{A})$$

denotes the Fourier-Mukai transform.

1.5. **Verlinde numbers.** The holomorphic Euler characteristics of the bundles Θ_w are calculated in [MO]. For instance,

$$\chi(K_v,\Theta_w)=\frac{d_v^2}{d_v+d_w}\binom{d_v+d_w}{d_v}. \tag{1}$$

In order to use these numerics, we will assume that

(**A.3**) Θ_w carries no higher cohomology over K_v [3].

This is indeed the case if the slope of w is sufficiently positive [LP]. The sharp statement is not known and seems to be subtle.

---

[1] or by descent from the Quot scheme in the absence of the universal sheaf

[2] we refer the reader to Convention 1 for the precise conventions on theta bundles

[3] and similarly for $\Theta_v\to K_w$ when this line bundle enters the discussion

1.6. **Bundles of generalized theta functions.** When assumptions (**A1**) – (**A3**) are satisfied, we push forward Θ_w via the morphisms α^+, α^- and α. The resulting bundles of generalized theta functions encode dependence on the determinant and determinant of the Fourier-Mukai. We obtain in this fashion three Verlinde bundles

$$\mathbf{E}^+(v,w), \mathbf{E}^-(v,w), \text{ and } \mathbf{E}(v,w)$$

over A, $\widehat{A}$ and $A \times \widehat{A}$ respectively. Throughout this introduction, we consider only the most intersting case, the bundle

$$\mathbf{E}(v,w) = \alpha_\star \Theta_w$$

over the abelian four-fold $A \times \widehat{A}$. Note that by (1), its rank equals

$$\frac{d_v^2}{d_v + d_w} \binom{d_v + d_w}{d_v}.$$

In the context of curves, the analogous bundles were introduced and studied in [Po], and were further analyzed in [O1], [O2].

Here, we formulate conjectures about the Verlinde bundles for abelian surfaces, and prove them in several general cases.

1.7. **Semihomogeneous bundles.** Assume (A, Θ) is a principally polarized surface [4], such that Θ is symmetric

$$(-1)^\star \Theta = \Theta.$$

We showed in [O2], in the context of a calculation for curves, that for any pair of coprime odd integers (a, b) there exists a unique symmetric semihomogeneous bundle $\mathbf{W}_{a,b}$ over A such that

$$\text{rank } \mathbf{W}_{a,b} = a^2 \text{ and } \det \mathbf{W}_{a,b} = \Theta^{ab}.$$

Recall that semihomogeneity is the requirement that all translations of $\mathbf{W}_{a,b}$ by $x \in A$ are of the form

$$t_x^\star \mathbf{W}_{a,b} = \mathbf{W}_{a,b} \otimes y$$

for some line bundle y over A.

We may also consider semihomogeneous bundles over the dual abelian variety $(\widehat{A}, -\widehat{\Theta})$, where $-\widehat{\Theta}$ is the dual polarization. We will use the notation $\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,b}$ for the corresponding bundles of rank a^2 and determinant $\widehat{\Theta}^{ab}$.

A more general class of semihomogeneous vector bundles

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) \to A \times \widehat{A},$$

---

[4] Our results also hold for non principal polarizations. We focus on principal polarizations to keep the numerics simple.

depending on a triple P of rational numbers, will be introduced and studied in Section 3. For instance, for a triple written in lowest terms

$$\mathsf{P} = \left(\frac{b}{a}, \frac{d}{c}, h\right),$$

where (a, c) are odd and coprime and $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) = \mathbf{W}_{a,b} \boxtimes \mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{c,d} \otimes \mathcal{P}^h.$$

Here $\mathcal{P}$ is the normalized Poincaré bundle over $A \times \widehat{A}$. For general triples P, the bundles $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ do not admit such simple expressions.

1.8. **Action of torsion points on generalized theta functions.** We will now consider the case $c_1(v) = 0$ *i.e.*

$$v = (r, 0, \chi),$$

with r and χ odd coprime integers, cf. **(A.2)**. The dual vector w must have the form

$$w = (rh, k\Theta, -\chi h)$$

for some integers h and k.

We consider the action of $(x, y) \in A \times \widehat{A}$ on the moduli space of sheaves $\mathfrak{M}_v$ given by

$$(x, y) : E \mapsto t_x^{\star} E \otimes y.$$

The action leaves K_v invariant provided $\chi x = 0, \ ry = 0$. Let us write

$$\gcd(\chi, k) = a, \ \gcd(r, k) = b.$$

If the stronger condition

$$ax = 0, \ by = 0$$

is satisfied, the action lifts to the line bundle $\Theta_w \to K_v$. We will assume this is the case. We show

Theorem 2. *If $\zeta = (x, y)$ has order δ, then the trace of ζ on the space of generalized theta functions equals*

$$\textit{Trace}\ (\zeta, H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)) = \frac{d_v^2}{d_v + d_w} \binom{d_v/\delta + d_w/\delta}{d_v/\delta}.$$

We believe the Theorem should hold for arbitrary $c_1(v)$, possibly under weaker assumptions than (**A.3**), but we are unable to prove these statements.

1.9. **Explicit expressions for the Verlinde bundles.** Still under the assumption of Subsection 1.8, we obtain an explicit expression for the Verlinde bundles. The situation is easily understood when

$$(r,k)=(\chi,k)=1.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{E}(v,w)=\bigoplus\left(\mathbf{W}_{-\chi,k}\boxtimes\mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{r,-k}\right)\otimes\mathcal{P}^{-h}.$$

This much can easily be derived from the representation theory of Heisenberg groups.

The difficulty of the calculation lies however in the case when the integers k and $r\chi$ are not coprime. Representation theory only gives $\mathbf{E}(v,w)$ up to torsion line bundles over $A\times\widehat{A}$ of orders dividing (a,b). We will prove the following:

Theorem 3. *We have*

$$\mathbf{E}(v,w)=\left(\mathbf{W}_{-\frac{\chi}{a},\frac{k}{a}}\boxtimes\mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{\frac{r}{b},-\frac{k}{b}}\right)\otimes\mathcal{P}^{-h}\otimes\bigoplus_{\zeta}\ell^{\mathsf{m}_\zeta}.$$

The sum is taken over line bundles ζ over $A\times\widehat{A}$ of orders dividing (a,b). A line bundle ζ of order exactly ω comes with multiplicity

$$\mathsf{m}_\zeta=\frac{1}{d_v+d_w}\sum_{\delta|ab}\frac{\delta^4}{(ab)^2}\left\{\frac{ab/\omega}{\delta}\right\}\binom{d_v/\delta+d_w/\delta}{d_v/\delta}.$$

The line bundles $\ell\to A\times\widehat{A}$ in the sum are roots of ζ of order $\left(\frac{\chi}{a},\frac{r}{b}\right)$. For each ζ, only one such root ℓ is chosen.

The Jordan totient appearing above is defined in terms of prime factorization. Specifically, for any integer $h\geq 2$, we decompose

$$h=p_1^{a_1}\dots p_n^{a_n}$$

into powers of primes. We set

$$\left\{\frac{\lambda}{h}\right\}=\begin{cases}0 & \text{if } p_1^{a_1-1}\dots p_n^{a_n-1}\text{does not divide } \lambda,\\ \prod_{i=1}^n\left(\epsilon_i-\frac{1}{p_i^4}\right) & \text{otherwise },\end{cases}$$

where

$$\epsilon_i=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } p_i^{a_i}|\lambda,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The symbol is set to 1 if $h=1$.

A description of the Verlinde bundles in arbitrary generality is proposed in Conjecture 2 of Section 4. The semihomogeneous bundles $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ of Section 3 enter the conjectured expression.

1.10. **Fourier-Mukai symmetries.** We furthermore prove several symmetries of the Verlinde bundles $\mathbf{E}(v,w)$ over the abelian four-fold $A \times \widehat{A}$. We show

Theorem 4. *Assuming that $c_1(v)$ and $c_1(w)$ are divisible by their ranks r and r', we have*

$$\mathbf{E}(v,w)^{\vee} \cong \widehat{\mathbf{E}(w,v)}. \tag{2}$$

The isomorphism (2) is obtained by direct comparison of both sides, using Theorem 3. The same result should be true for any vectors v and w satisfying $(\mathbf{A.1})-(\mathbf{A.3})$, and in fact, it is implied by Conjecture 2.

1.11. **Strange duality.** The above symmetry of the Verlinde bundles is related to the strange duality conjecture. This was observed in the case of curves by Popa [Po].

Considering the fibers of (2) over the origin, we obtain isomorphic spaces

$$H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)^{\vee} \cong H^0(\mathfrak{M}_w, \Theta_v). \tag{3}$$

As stated, this is merely saying that the dimensions of both vector spaces agree. However, there is a geometrically induced map, called *strange duality*, which conjecturally yields the isomorphism above. Even stronger, various strange duality maps can be packaged into an explicit bundle morphism, constructed as a corollary of Theorem 1

$$\mathsf{SD} : \mathbf{E}(v,w)^{\vee} \to \widehat{\mathbf{E}(w,v)}. \tag{4}$$

In many cases, SD should provide a geometric isomorphism of bundles, as predicted by Theorem 4.

1.12. **Level 1 strange duality.** The integers (d_v, d_w) should be thought of as the rank and the level in the theory of curves.

Our study of the Verlinde bundles yields the following result, which we regard as the analogue of the level 1 strange duality on curves established in [BNR]. We have:

Theorem 5. *If $d_v = 1$ or $d_w = 1$, the strange duality morphism*

$$\mathsf{SD} : H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)^{\vee} \to H^0(\mathfrak{M}_w, \Theta_v)$$

is an isomorphism or zero.

We believe that the results of this paper will be useful in establishing strange duality for abelian surfaces in greater generality. We will return to this question in future work.

1.13. **Comparison to the case of curves.** To end this introduction, let us remark that a similar picture emerges in the case of curves, cf. [O2]. Indeed, let C be smooth of genus g, and consider the vectors

$$v = r\,[\mathcal{O}_C]\,, w = k\,[\kappa]\,,$$

for a symmetric Theta characteristic κ. The bundles of rank r, level k generalized theta functions

$$\mathbf{E}_{r,k} = \det{}_\star\left(\Theta_\kappa^k\right) \to \mathrm{Jac}(C)$$

can be obtained pushing forward the pluri-theta bundles $\Theta_w = \Theta_\kappa^k \to \mathfrak{M}_v$ via the determinant map

$$\det : \mathfrak{M}_v \to \mathrm{Jac}(C).$$

The pushforwards $\mathbf{E}_{r,k}$ take the form

$$\mathbf{E}_{r,k} = \mathbf{W}_{\frac{r}{a},\frac{k}{a}} \otimes \bigoplus_{\zeta} \ell^{\mathsf{m}_\zeta(r,k)}.$$

The torsion line bundles ζ have orders dividing $a = \gcd(r,k)$ and the multiplicities $\mathsf{m}_\zeta(r,k)$ of their $\frac{r}{a}$-roots ℓ are explicit. Furthermore, the symmetry

$$\mathbf{E}_{r,k}^\vee \cong \widehat{\mathbf{E}}_{k,r}$$

is a manifestation of strange duality.

1.14. **Outline.** The paper is organized as follows. The next section discusses preliminary results about theta bundles and their behavior under étale pullbacks; in particular Theorem 1 is proved there. The third section introduces and studies a new class of semi-homogeneous vector bundles relevant for strange duality; this section can also be read independently of the rest of the paper. Section 4 explains the conjectural expression of the Verlinde bundles. Level 1 strange duality is shown to hold. The fifth section contains the computation confirming Theorem 2. This is the main calculation of the paper, and Theorems 3 and 4 follow from it. Finally, variants of these results are considered.

1.15. **Acknowledgements.** The author was supported by the NSF through grant DMS 1001486 and by a Hellman Fellowship.

2. Preliminaries on theta bundles

This section collects various observations about theta bundles. The main result here is Theorem 1, which describes how the theta bundles vary with respect to the reference sheaf. Over curves, a similar statement was made in [DN], and proved by entirely different methods. As a corollary of the theorem, we construct the strange duality map between the Verlinde bundles.

2.1. **Setup.** Let (A, Θ) be a principally polarized abelian surface, with Θ symmetric. Throughout the paper, we will use the usual conventions on the Fourier-Mukai transform

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S} : \mathbf{D}(A) \to \mathbf{D}(\widehat{A}), \quad \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E) = \mathbf{R}p_!(\mathcal{P} \otimes q^\star E),$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the normalized Poincaré bundle over $A \times \widehat{A}$. Dually, we consider the transform

$$\mathbf{R}\widehat{\mathcal{S}} : \mathbf{D}(\widehat{A}) \to \mathbf{D}(A).$$

If E satisfies the index theorem, we often write $\widehat{E}$ for the sheaf representing $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E)$, up to shift. Furthermore, we make frequent use of the following identities [M1]:

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(t_x^\star E) = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{-x},$$

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E \otimes y) = t_y^\star \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E).$$

We set

$$\widehat{\Theta} = \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\Theta),$$

so that $-\widehat{\Theta}$ is the polarization on the dual abelian variety $\widehat{A}$. Finally, we write

$$\Phi : A \to \widehat{A}, \ \ \widehat{\Phi} : \widehat{A} \to A$$

for the morphisms induced by Θ and $\widehat{\Theta}$, so that

$$\Phi \circ \widehat{\Phi} = -1, \ \ \widehat{\Phi} \circ \Phi = -1.$$

Consider two orthogonal Mukai vectors

$$v = (r, k\Theta, \chi), \ \ w = (r', k'\Theta, \chi'),$$

satisfying assumptions (**A**.**2**) and (**A**.**3**). Central for our arguments is the following diagram [Y1], [MO]:

(5)
$$\begin{tikzcd} K_v \times A \times \widehat{A} \arrow[r, "\tau"] \arrow[d, "p"] & \mathfrak{M}_v \arrow[d, "\alpha"] \\ A \times \widehat{A} \arrow[r, "\Psi"] & A \times \widehat{A} \end{tikzcd} .$$

We now explain the notation. The morphism

$$\tau : K_v \times A \times \widehat{A} \to \mathfrak{M}_v$$

is given by

$$\tau(E, x, y) = t_x^\star E \otimes y,$$

and the Albanese map α is defined as

$$\alpha(E) = \left(\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E) \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-k}, \det E \otimes \Theta^{-k}\right).$$

Recall that we write K_v for the fiber of α over the origin. Lemma 4.3 of [Y1] identifies the horizontal morphism

$$\Psi(x,y) = (-\chi x + k\widehat{\Phi}(y), k\Phi(x) + ry).$$

Lemma 17 of the Appendix shows that Ψ has degree d_v^4.

2.2. **Properties of the theta bundles.** As already mentioned, we prove the following analogue of the Drézet-Narashimhan theorem [DN], originally conjectured in [MO]:

Theorem 1. *If F_1, F_2 are sheaves of the same Mukai vector orthogonal to v, then*

$$\Theta_{F_1} = \Theta_{F_2} \otimes \big((-1) \circ \alpha^{+}\big)^{\star} \left(\det F_1 \otimes (\det F_2)^{\vee}\right) \otimes \big((-1) \circ \alpha^{-}\big)^{\star} \left(\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F_1) \otimes \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F_2)^{\vee}\right).$$

Proof. We begin by noting that Θ_F depends *a priory* on the holomorphic K-theory class of the sheaf F. To compare different theta bundles, we consider the virtual difference

$$\mathsf{f} = F_1 - F_2.$$

By Lemma 1 of [MO], we may assume that K-theoretically we have

$$\mathsf{f} = \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{O} + \mathcal{O}_Z - \mathcal{O}_W,$$

for a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ over A of degree 0, and subschemes Z, W of the same length ℓ. This gives

$$\det \mathsf{f} = \mathcal{M}, \ \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\mathsf{f}) = \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{a(Z)-a(W)},$$

where a is the addition morphism, and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{M})$$

stands for the determinant of the Fourier-Mukai transform. In fact, since $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}_y$ for some $y \in \widehat{A}$, we have $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{O}$.

Let us write

$$\mathsf{m} = \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{O}, \mathsf{n} = \mathcal{O}_Z - \mathcal{O}_W,$$

and observe that

$$\Theta_{\mathsf{f}} = \Theta_{\mathsf{m}} \otimes \Theta_{\mathsf{n}}.$$

We show

$$\Theta_{\mathsf{n}} \cong \left(\alpha^{-}\right)^{\star} \mathcal{P}_{a(W)-a(Z)}.$$

It is enough to check this isomorphism along any test family of sheaves. Indeed, consider a flat family

$$\mathcal{E} \to S \times A$$

of sheaves of type v, inducing a morphism

$$\alpha^- : S \to \widehat{A}$$

by taking determinants and twisting. Remark that by the see-saw theorem

$$\det \mathcal{E} = (\alpha^- \times 1)^\star \mathcal{P} \otimes p^\star \mathcal{V} \otimes q^\star \Theta^k,$$

for some line bundle $\mathcal{V} \to S$, with p, q being the projections from $S \times A$. We calculate

$$\det \mathbf{R}p_!(\mathcal{E} \otimes q^\star \mathcal{O}_Z) = \bigotimes_{z \in Z} \det \mathcal{E}_z = \bigotimes_{z \in Z} \big((\alpha^-)^\star \mathcal{P}_z \otimes \mathcal{V}\big) = (\alpha^-)^\star \mathcal{P}_{a(Z)} \otimes \mathcal{V}^\ell.$$

Therefore,

$$\Theta_{\mathsf{n}} = \left((\alpha^-)^\star \mathcal{P}_{a(Z)} \otimes \mathcal{V}^\ell\right)^{-1} \otimes \left((\alpha^-)^\star \mathcal{P}_{a(W)} \otimes \mathcal{V}^\ell\right) = \left(\alpha^-\right)^\star \mathcal{P}_{a(W)-a(Z)},$$

as claimed.

It remains to prove that

$$\Theta_{\mathsf{m}} = (\alpha^+)^\star \mathcal{M}^{-1}. \tag{6}$$

First, we will verify this equality after pullback by τ. To begin, we calculate the pullback of the right hand side

$$\tau^\star (\alpha^+)^\star \mathcal{M}^{-1} = (-\chi x + k\widehat{\Phi}(y))^\star \mathcal{M}^{-1} = \left(\mathcal{M}^\chi \boxtimes \widehat{\Phi}^\star \mathcal{M}^{-k}\right).$$

We claim next that

$$\tau^\star \Theta_{\mathsf{m}} = \mathcal{Q} \boxtimes \left(\mathcal{M}^\chi \boxtimes \widehat{\Phi}^\star \mathcal{M}^{-k}\right)$$

for some line bundle $\mathcal{Q}$ over K_v, where $\mathcal{Q}$ is the restriction of $\tau^\star \Theta_{\mathsf{m}}$ to K_v. The Chern class of $\mathcal{Q}$ depends only on the Chern class of $\mathcal{M}$, and since for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}$ we obtain the trivial bundle, this must be the case for all $\mathcal{M}$'s of degree zero. Since K_v is simply connected, $\mathcal{Q}$ is trivial.

To identify the remaining term, note that the restriction of $\tau^\star \Theta_{\mathsf{m}}$ to $\{E\} \times A \times \widehat{A}$ equals

$$\mathcal{L}_E = \det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}(m_{12}^\star E \otimes p_{13}^\star \mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^\star(\mathcal{M} - \mathcal{O}))^{-1},$$

where

$$m_{12} : A \times A \times \widehat{A} \to A$$

denotes the addition on the first two factors, and the p's are the projections. We prove that

$$\mathcal{L}_E = \mathcal{M}^{\chi} \boxtimes \widehat{\Phi}_D^{\star} \mathcal{M}^{-1}, \tag{7}$$

where D is the determinant of E, and

$$\Phi_D : A \to \widehat{A}, \;\; \widehat{\Phi}_D : \widehat{A} \to A$$

are the induced morphisms. The idea of the proof is already contained in the above argument. We first note that $\mathcal{L}_E$ only depends on the holomorphic K-theory class of E. In fact, we argue $\mathcal{L}_E$ depends on the rank, determinant and Euler characteristic of E. Indeed, for two sheaves E_1, E_2 with the same data as above, we form the virtual difference

$$\mathsf{e} = E_1 - E_2.$$

By Lemma 1 of [MO], we can write

$$\mathsf{e} = \mathcal{O}_Z - \mathcal{O}_W, \quad \text{with } \ell(Z) = \ell(W).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{e}} = \mathcal{L}_{E_1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{E_2}^{-1}$$

is trivial, since for any $a \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det \mathbf{R} p_{23!}(m_{12}^{\star}\mathcal{O}_a \otimes p_{13}^{\star}\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}(\mathcal{M}-\mathcal{O})) &= \det \left((t_a \times 1) \circ (-1 \times 1)\right)^{\star} \left(\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}(\mathcal{M}-\mathcal{O})\right) \\ &= \mathcal{M}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Since for fixed rank and Euler characteristic, $\mathcal{L}_E$ depends only on the determinant of E, we may assume that E splits. Since both sides of (7) are multiplicative in E, it therefore suffices to consider the cases

$$E = \mathcal{O}, \;\; E = \mathcal{O}(D), \;\; E = \mathcal{O}_o.$$

Now, note that for $E = \mathcal{O}$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}} = \det \mathbf{R} p_{23}(m_{12}^{\star}\mathcal{O} \otimes p_{13}^{\star}\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}(\mathcal{M}-\mathcal{O}))^{-1} = \widehat{\mathcal{M}}^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O},$$

while for $E = \mathcal{O}_o$ we obtain by (8)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{O}_o} = \det \mathbf{R} p_{23!}(m_{12}^{\star}\mathcal{O}_o \otimes p_{13}^{\star}\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}(\mathcal{M}-\mathcal{O}))^{-1} \cong \mathcal{M}.$$

The calculation for $E = \mathcal{O}(D)$ is more involved. We need to show

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}^{\chi(D)} \boxtimes \widehat{\Phi}_D^{\star} \mathcal{M}^{-1.}$$

Observe that over $A \times A$ we have

$$m_{12}^{\star}\mathcal{O}(D) = (1 \times \Phi_D)^{\star}\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}\mathcal{O}(D) \otimes p_2^{\star}\mathcal{O}(D).$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{-1} &= \det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}\left(p_{12}^\star(1\times\Phi_D)^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_{13}^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star(\mathcal{M}(D)-\mathcal{O}(D))\right)\\ &= (\Phi_D\times 1)^\star \det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}\left(p_{12}^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_{13}^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star(\mathcal{M}(D)-\mathcal{O}(D))\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note furthermore that

$$p_{12}^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_{13}^\star\mathcal{P}=(1\times\widehat{m})^\star\mathcal{P}$$

where

$$1\times\widehat{m}: A\times\widehat{A}\times\widehat{A}\to A\times\widehat{A}$$

is the addition map. We conclude

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{-1} &= (\Phi_D\times 1)^\star\widehat{m}^\star \det\mathbf{R}p_{2!}\left(\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star(\mathcal{M}(D)-\mathcal{O}(D))\right)\\ &= (\Phi_D\times 1)^\star\widehat{m}^\star\left(\det\widehat{\mathcal{M}\otimes D}\otimes\det\widehat{D}^{-1}\right)\\ &= (\Phi_D\times 1)^\star\widehat{m}^\star\left(t_{\mathcal{M}}^\star\det\widehat{D}\otimes\det\widehat{D}^{-1}\right)\\ &= (\Phi_D\times 1)^\star\widehat{m}^\star\,\widehat{\Phi}_D(\mathcal{M}).\end{aligned}$$

Now for a degree 0 line bundle U we have

$$\widehat{m}^\star U = p_1^\star U\otimes p_2^\star U,$$

hence

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}=(\Phi_D\times 1)^\star\left(\widehat{\Phi}_D(\mathcal{M})\boxtimes\widehat{\Phi}_D(\mathcal{M})\right)=(\Phi_D\times 1)^\star(\widehat{\Phi}_D^\star\mathcal{M}\boxtimes\widehat{\Phi}_D^\star\mathcal{M})=\mathcal{M}^{-\chi(D)}\boxtimes\widehat{\Phi}_D^\star\mathcal{M}$$

as claimed in (7).

Equality (6) is now checked under pullback by τ. It remains to observe that the assignment

$$\mathcal{M}\to\Theta_{\mathsf{m}}\otimes\left(\alpha^+\right)^\star\mathcal{M}$$

defines a morphism

$$\pi:\widehat{A}\to\ \text{Pic}\ (\mathfrak{M}_v).$$

This amounts to constructing a universal line bundle

$$\mathcal{U}\to\mathfrak{M}_v\times\widehat{A}$$

which restricts to $\Theta_{\mathcal{M}-\mathcal{O}}\otimes(\alpha^+)^\star\mathcal{M}$ over $\mathfrak{M}_v\times\{\mathcal{M}\}$. Indeed, it suffices to define

$$\mathcal{U}=\det\mathbf{R}p_{23!}(p_{13}^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star(\mathcal{M}-\mathcal{O})\otimes p_{12}^\star\mathcal{E})^{-1}\otimes\left(\alpha^+\times 1\right)^\star\mathcal{P},$$

using the pushforward from

$$A\times\mathfrak{M}_v\times\widehat{A}\to\mathfrak{M}_v\times\widehat{A},$$

for a universal sheaf $\mathcal{E} \to A \times \mathfrak{M}_v$. A quasi-universal sheaf may be used if necessary. Note however that the above discussion implies that

$$\tau^\star \circ \pi = 0.$$

Since the kernel of $\tau^\star$ is discrete, π must be constant. Since $\pi(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}$, we must have $\pi(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{O}$ completing the proof. $\square$

The following results are immediately obtained by restriction:

Corollary 1. (+) *Over the moduli space* $\mathfrak{M}_v^+$*, we have*

$$\Theta_{F_1} = \Theta_{F_2} \otimes ((-1) \circ \alpha^+)^\star \left(\det F_1 \otimes \det F_2^\vee\right).$$

(-) *Over the moduli space* $\mathfrak{M}_v^-$*, we have*

$$\Theta_{F_1} = \Theta_{F_2} \otimes ((-1) \circ \alpha^-)^\star \left(\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F_1) \otimes \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F_2)^\vee\right).$$

Convention 1. The theorem above shows that Θ_F only depends on the rank, Euler characteristic, determinant and determinant of the Fourier-Mukai of the bundle F. The Mukai vectors used in this paper are all of the form

$$w = (r', k'\Theta, \chi').$$

We make the convention that all Theta bundles

$$\Theta_w := \Theta_F \to \mathfrak{M}_v$$

are calculated with respect to K-classes F with

$$\text{rank } F = r', \ \chi(F) = \chi', \ \det F = \Theta^{k'}, \ \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F) = \widehat{\Theta}^{k'}.$$

Example 1. Assume that $v = (1, 0, -n)$ so that $\mathfrak{M}_v \cong A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A}$ via the isomorphism

$$(Z, y) \mapsto I_Z \otimes y.$$

Then, α corresponds to the morphism

$$(-a, 1) : A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A} \to A \times \widehat{A},$$

where as usual a is the addition map. For a sheaf $F \to A$ of rank r, we obtain

$$\Theta_F = \det \mathbf{R}p_{12!}(p_{13}^\star I_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes p_3^\star F \otimes p_{23}^\star \mathcal{P})^{-1},$$

where the projections are considered onto the factors of the product $A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A} \times A$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ is the universal subscheme in $A^{[n]} \times A$. This yields

$$\Theta_F = \det \mathbf{R}p_{12!}(p_3^\star F \otimes p_{23}^\star \mathcal{P})^{-1} \otimes \det \mathbf{R}p_{12!}(p_{13}^\star \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes p_3^\star F \otimes p_{23}^\star \mathcal{P}).$$

The second line bundle can be found via the see-saw theorem and Section 5 of [EGL]

$$(\det F)_{(n)} \otimes M^r \otimes (a,1)^\star \mathcal{P}^r.$$

Here, M is half the exceptional divisor on the Hilbert scheme and $(\cdot)_{(n)}$ denotes the symmetrization of a line bundle from A over $\mathrm{Sym}^n(A)$. Therefore, over $A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A}$,

$$\Theta_F = \left(\left((\det F)_{(n)} \otimes M^r\right) \boxtimes \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F)^{-1}\right) \otimes (a,1)^\star \mathcal{P}^r.$$

This expression is consistent with the statement of the theorem.

2.3. **Theta bundles and étale pullbacks.** We now return to the étale diagram (5):

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_v \times A \times \widehat{A} \arrow[r, "\tau"] \arrow[d, "p"] & \mathfrak{M}_v \arrow[d, "\alpha"] \\ A \times \widehat{A} \arrow[r, "\Psi"] & A \times \widehat{A} \end{tikzcd} .$$

We continue to refer the reader to [MO], where we showed the splitting of the pullback

$$\tau^\star \Theta_w = \Theta_w \boxtimes \mathcal{L}.$$

The line bundle Θ_w was shown to be independent of choices on the simply connected manifold K_v. Furhermore, we proved that

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}\left(m_{12}^\star E \otimes p_{13}^\star \mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^\star F\right)\right)^{-1},$$

with the p's denoting the projections on the corresponding factors of $A \times A \times \widehat{A}$, while

$$m_{12} : A \times A \times \widehat{A} \to A$$

is as usual the addition on the first two factors. We moreover calculated the Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{L}$, cf. [MO]. In the lemma below, we identify $\mathcal{L}$ explicitly. As a corollary we obtain

$$\Psi^\star \mathbf{E}(v,w) = p_\star \tau^\star \Theta_w = H^0(K_v, \Theta_w) \otimes \mathcal{L}. \tag{9}$$

We will use this equation in Section 5.

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\Theta^{-\chi' k - \chi k'} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-rk' - r'k}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P}^{r'\chi + kk'}. \tag{10}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1. First, we note that the bundle

$$\mathcal{L}_{E,F} = \left(\det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}\left(m_{12}^\star E \otimes p_{13}^\star \mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^\star F\right)\right)^{-1}$$

depends only on the holomorphic K-theory classes of E and F. In fact, we will furthermore remark below that the line bundle only depends on the Mukai vectors v and w, the determinant and determinant of the Fourier-Mukai of E and F. In other words, we argue that

$$\mathcal{L}_{E,F} \cong \mathcal{L}_{E',F},, \mathcal{L}_{E,F} \cong \mathcal{L}_{E,F'}$$

for pairs (E, E') and (F, F') of the same Mukai vectors, determinants and determinants of Fourier-Mukai.

In fact, only the statement about the first argument E will be useful to us. For this isomorphism, consider the virtual sheaf

$$\mathsf{e} = E - E'.$$

Note that in K-theory, we have

$$\mathsf{e} = \mathcal{O}_Z - \mathcal{O}_W$$

for two zero-dimensional subschemes which have the same length [MO], and since the Fourier-Mukai transform of e has trivial determinant, we must have $a(Z) = a(W)$. We prove

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{e},F} = \mathcal{L}_{E,F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{E',F}^{-1}$$

is trivial. Over $A \times \widehat{A}$, we calculate

$$\det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}(m_{12}\mathcal{O}_Z \otimes p_{13}^{\star}\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}F) \cong \bigotimes_{z \in Z} ((t_z \times 1) \circ (-1 \times 1))^{\star} \det (\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}F)$$

which only depends on $a(Z)$ by the theorem of the square. Thus, we obtain the same answer replacing Z by W, therefore showing $\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{e},F}$ is trivial. The argument for the pair (F, F') is similar.

With this understood, we prove the lemma. We may assume then that E splits as a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{O}$, Θ and structure sheaves $\mathcal{O}_o$. In fact, since $\mathcal{L}_{E,F}$ is multiplicative in E, it suffices to prove the lemma separately for the three sheaves

$$E = \mathcal{O}, \ E = \Theta \ \text{ and } E = \mathcal{O}_o.$$

First, for $E = \mathcal{O}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} = \det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}(m_{12}\mathcal{O} \otimes p_{13}^{\star}\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}F)^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \left(\det \widehat{F}\right)^{-1} = \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-k'},$$

while for $E = \mathcal{O}_o$, we have

$$\mathcal{L} = \det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}(m_{12}\mathcal{O}_o \otimes p_{13}^{\star}\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}F)^{-1} \cong \det ((-1, 1)^{\star}\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}F)^{-1} \cong \mathcal{P}^{r'} \otimes \Theta^{-k'}.$$

The calculation for $E = \Theta$ is more involved. We show

$$\mathcal{L} = \det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}(m^{\star}\Theta \otimes p_{13}^{\star}\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}F)^{-1} = \left(\Theta^{-\chi'-k'} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-k'-r'}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P}^{r'+k'}.$$

Observe that

$$m^{\star}\Theta = (1 \times \Phi)^{\star}\mathcal{P} \otimes p_1^{\star}\Theta \otimes p_2^{\star}\Theta = (1 \times \Phi)^{\star}(\mathcal{P} \otimes p_2^{\star}\widehat{\Theta}^{-1}) \otimes p_1^{\star}\Theta.$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{-1} &= \det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}((1\times\Phi)^\star(\mathcal{P}\otimes p_2^\star\widehat{\Theta}^{-1})\otimes p_{13}^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star(F\otimes\Theta))\\ &= (\Phi\times 1)^\star\det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}(p_{12}^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_{13}^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star(F\otimes\Theta)\otimes p_2^\star\widehat{\Theta}^{-1})\\ &= (\Phi\times 1)^\star\det \mathbf{R}p_{23!}((1\times\widehat{m})^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star(F\otimes\Theta)\otimes p_2^\star\widehat{\Theta}^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

where we noted again that over $A\times\widehat{A}\times\widehat{A}$ we have

$$p_{12}^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_{13}^\star\mathcal{P}=(1\times\widehat{m})^\star\mathcal{P}.$$

Using that

$$\chi(F\otimes\Theta)=r'+\chi'+2k',$$

we continue the calculation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= (\Phi\times 1)^\star\det\Big(\mathbf{R}p_{23!}((1\times\widehat{m})^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star(F\otimes\Theta))\otimes \mathrm{pr}_1^\star\widehat{\Theta}^{-1}\Big)^{-1}\\ &= (\Phi\times 1)^\star\Big(\det\mathbf{R}p_{23!}((1\times\widehat{m})^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star(F\otimes\Theta))\otimes \mathrm{pr}_1^\star\widehat{\Theta}^{-r'-\chi'-2k'}\Big)^{-1}\\ &= (\Phi\times 1)^\star\left(\widehat{m}^\star\det\mathbf{R}p_{3!}(\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star(F\otimes\Theta)\right)^{-1}\otimes\Phi^\star\widehat{\Theta}^{r'+\chi'+2k'}\\ &= (\Phi\times 1)^\star\widehat{m}^\star\det\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F\otimes\Theta)^{-1}\otimes\Theta^{-r'-\chi'-2k'}\\ &= (\Phi\times 1)^\star\widehat{m}^\star\widehat{\Theta}^{-r'-k'}\otimes\Theta^{-r'-\chi'-2k'}\end{aligned}$$

Noting again that

$$\widehat{m}^\star\widehat{\Theta}=(\widehat{\Phi}\times 1)^\star\mathcal{P}\otimes p_1^\star\widehat{\Theta}\otimes p_2^\star\widehat{\Theta},$$

we obtain the result

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= (\Phi\times 1)^\star(\widehat{\Phi}\times 1)^\star\mathcal{P}^{-r'-k'}\otimes\left(\Phi^\star\widehat{\Theta}^{-r'-k'}\boxtimes\widehat{\Theta}^{-r'-k'}\right)\otimes\Theta^{-r'-\chi'-2k'}\\ &= (-1,1)^\star\mathcal{P}^{-r'-k'}\otimes\left(\Theta^{r'+k'}\boxtimes\widehat{\Theta}^{-r'-k'}\right)\otimes\Theta^{-r'-\chi'-2k'}\\ &= \mathcal{P}^{r'+k'}\otimes\left(\Theta^{-\chi'-k'}\boxtimes\widehat{\Theta}^{-r'-k'}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is now proved.

The only detail that still needs clarification is the fact that

$$\det\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F\otimes\Theta)=\widehat{\Theta}^{r'+k'}.$$

This uses that Θ is symmetric so that $\det\widehat{\Theta^k}=\widehat{\Theta}^k$, cf. [O2]. Indeed, letting

$$\mathcal{M}_F=\det\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F\otimes\Theta)$$

observe that $\mathcal{M}_F$ depends on the rank, Euler characteristic, determinant and determinant of the Fourier-Mukai transform of F. If F_1 and F_2 are two such sheaves, we write

$$\mathsf{f}=F_1-F_2=\mathcal{O}_Z-\mathcal{O}_W,$$

where $a(Z) = a(W)$. But then

$$\mathcal{M}_{F_1} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{F_2}^{-1} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{f}} = \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\Theta \otimes \mathsf{f}) = \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\Theta \otimes (\mathcal{O}_Z - \mathcal{O}_W)) = \mathcal{P}_{a(Z)-a(W)} = \mathcal{O}.$$

Therefore, it suffices to assume that

$$F = \mathcal{O}^{r'} \oplus (k'\Theta - \mathcal{O}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_o^{\chi'-r'}.$$

Finally, note that in this case

$$\mathcal{M}_F = \widehat{\Theta}^{r'} \otimes \det \widehat{\Theta^{k'+1}} \otimes \det \widehat{\Theta}^{-1} \otimes \det \widehat{\Theta \otimes \mathcal{O}_o}^{\chi'-r'} = \widehat{\Theta}^{r'+k'}.$$

□

2.4. **Construction of the strange duality map.** In this subsection, we use Theorem 1 to construct the duality map SD mentioned in the introduction; see equation (4). A similar construction was achieved in [Po] in the case of curves by packaging together all the strange duality morphisms over the Jacobian.

For the remainder of this section, it will be convenient to make the following additional assumption:

(A.4) $c_1(v \otimes w) > 0$. [5]

In particular, Serre duality implies that for any two stable sheaves E and F we have

$$H^2(E \otimes F) = 0.$$

Furthermore, the locus

$$\Theta = \{(E, F) : h^0(E \otimes F) \neq 0\} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_v \times \mathfrak{M}_w \tag{11}$$

has expected codimension 1. The defining equation of (11) is used to prove that:

Lemma 2. *There exists a natural morphism*

$$\mathsf{SD} : \mathsf{j}^\star \mathbf{E}(v, w)^\vee \to \widehat{\mathbf{E}(w, v)}$$

Here we write

$$\mathsf{j} : A \times \widehat{A} \to A \times \widehat{A}$$

for the multiplication by $(-1, -1)$. Since our polarizations are in fact assumed to be symmetric, pullback by the morphism j will not be necessary.

---

[5] This assumption is made for ease of presentation. When $c_1(v \otimes w) < 0$, a similar discussion should be possible exchanging the H^0 and H^2's.

Proof. To construct SD, we need a natural section of the bundle

$$\mathsf{j}^\star \mathbf{E}(v,w) \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{E}(w,v)} = R\alpha_!(\Theta_w \boxtimes \Theta_v \otimes \alpha^\star \mathcal{Q})$$

where

$$\alpha = (\mathsf{j} \circ \alpha_v) \times \alpha_w : \mathfrak{M}_v \times \mathfrak{M}_w \to (A \times \widehat{A}) \times (A \times \widehat{A}),$$

and $\mathcal{Q} \to (A \times \widehat{A}) \times (A \times \widehat{A})$ is the Poincaré bundle on the self-dual abelian variety $A \times \widehat{A}$.

For simplicity let us assume that universal sheaves $\mathcal{E} \to \mathfrak{M}_v \times A$ and $\mathcal{F} \to \mathfrak{M}_w \times A$ exist. We form the bundle

$$\Theta = \det \mathbf{R}p_!(\mathcal{E} \boxtimes^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{F})^{-1},$$

obtained by pushforward via the projection

$$p : \mathfrak{M}_v \times A \times \mathfrak{M}_w \times A \to \mathfrak{M}_v \times \mathfrak{M}_w.$$

We claim that

$$\Theta = \Theta_w \boxtimes \Theta_v \otimes \alpha^\star \mathcal{Q}.$$

This is precisely the see-saw principle combined with Theorem 1. Indeed, the restriction of Θ to $\{E\} \times \mathfrak{M}_w$ equals

$$\Theta_E = \Theta_v \otimes \alpha_w^\star \left((\det E \otimes \Theta^{-k})^\vee \boxtimes (\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E) \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-k})^\vee \right) = \Theta_v \otimes \alpha^\star \mathcal{Q}|_{\{E\} \times \mathfrak{M}_w}.$$

The calculation of the restriction to $\mathfrak{M}_v \times \{F\}$ is similar:

$$\Theta_F = \Theta_w \otimes (\alpha_v)^\star \left((\det F \otimes \Theta^{-k'})^\vee \boxtimes (\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F) \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-k'})^\vee \right) = \Theta_w \otimes \alpha^\star \mathcal{Q}|_{\mathfrak{M}_v \times \{F\}}.$$

We can now complete the proof. The locus where

$$Tor^1(E,F) = Tor^2(E,F) = 0$$

has complement of codimension at least 2 in the product space by Proposition 0.5 in [Y2]. Along this locus, the pushforward whose determinant gives Θ can be represented by a two step complex

$$0 \to \mathcal{A}_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{A}_1 \to 0$$

which yields a section $\det \sigma$ of

$$\Theta = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_0^{-1} = \Theta_w \boxtimes \Theta_v \otimes \alpha^\star \mathcal{Q}$$

vanishing precisely along the theta locus (11). □

Conjecture 1. *Assuming* $(\mathbf{A}.\mathbf{1}) - (\mathbf{A}.\mathbf{4})$*, the morphism*

$$\mathsf{SD} : \mathsf{j}^\star \mathbf{E}(v,w)^\vee \to \widehat{\mathbf{E}(w,v)}$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 1. Just as in the case of curves, there is a slight asymmetry in the roles of v and w in the strange duality morphism (3): on one side, the determinant and determinant of the Fourier-Mukai vary, while on the other side these invariants are kept fixed. However, just as in the case of curves [Pol], the above reformulation makes it clear that

Corollary 2. *If the duality morphism* (3) *is an isomorphism for the pair* (v, w)*, then it is an isomorphism for the pair* (w, v)*.*

2.5. **Vanishing of higher cohomology.** The arguments of this paper often assume the vanishing of the higher cohomology of the theta bundles, cf. (**A.3**). In general, this is a difficult question.

Here we only content to note the following:

Lemma 3. *If* (**A**.**4**) *is satisfied, the line bundle* Θ_w *over the moduli spaces* K_v*,* $\mathfrak{M}_v^+$*,* $\mathfrak{M}_v^-$*,* $\mathfrak{M}_v$ *has no higher cohomology if the slope of* w *is sufficiently ample with respect to* r, k, χ*.*

Proof. LePotier proved that Θ_w is ample on $\mathfrak{M}_v^+$, hence on K_v, provided the slope of w is a sufficiently positive multiple of the polarization, cf. [LP] or Remark 8.1.12 in [HL]. This suffices for our purposes as the Picard rank of A is 1, by (**A.1**).

It remains to observe that the first of the four statements below implies the remaining three:

(i) Θ_w has no higher cohomology on K_v;
(ii) Θ_w has no higher cohomology on $\mathfrak{M}_v^+$;
(iii) Θ_w has no higher cohomology on $\mathfrak{M}_v^-$;
(iv) Θ_w has no higher cohomology on $\mathfrak{M}_v$.

Indeed, to show (i) implies (iv), we use the etale morphism τ and equation (10). We observe that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ has no higher cohomology since Θ and $\widehat{\Theta}^{-1}$ do not, and

$$rk' + r'k > 0, \ \chi k' + \chi' k < 0.$$

The former inequality is assumption (**A**.**4**), while the latter follows from it since

$$(rk' + r'k)(\chi k' + \chi' k) + (r\chi' + kk')^2 = -d_v d_w < 0.$$

The other implications are similar, using Propositions 2 and 3 in [MO] to calculate the étale pullbacks. (Note that the statement of Proposition 2 contains a sign error in the expression for $c_1(\mathcal{L}^+)$.) □

Example 2. Over the Hilbert scheme $A^{[n]}$ corresponding to the vector $v = (1, 0, -n)$, consider

$$w = (h, k\Theta, nh).$$

Claim. *Θ_w has no higher cohomology for slopes $k/h \geq n + 2$.*

Proof. It suffices to show Θ_w is ample. Write

$$k = hq + r, \ \ q \geq n + 2.$$

We have

$$\Theta_w = (\Theta^k)_{(n)} \otimes M^h = \left((\Theta^q)_{[n]}\right)^h \otimes (\Theta^r)_{(n)},$$

with M denoting half the exceptional divisor. The line bundle Θ^q is n-very ample for $q \geq n + 2$ according to [BS], and hence $(\Theta^q)_{[n]}$ is very ample on $A^{[n]}$ by [CG]. Since Θ^r is globally generated over A, it follows $(\Theta^r)_{(n)}$ is also globally generated. The claim follows. □

Finally, let us remark that the above bound is not sharp. For instance, when $h = 1$, Scala proved the vanishing of higher cohomology only under the assumption that $k \geq 1$ cf. Theorem 5.2.1 [Sc].

3. A CLASS OF SEMIHOMOGENEOUS BUNDLES RELEVANT TO STRANGE DUALITY

In this section, we define and study a new class of semihomogeneous bundles

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) \to A \times \widehat{A}$$

indexed by triples of rational numbers

$$\mathsf{P} = (u, v, h).$$

These will be useful to the study of the Verlinde bundles in Section 4.

3.1. Admissible triples.

We begin with some terminology. For a triple $\mathsf{P} = (u, v, h)$ of rational numbers, we define the determinant[6]

$$\det \mathsf{P} = uv + h^2.$$

The rank $r(\mathsf{P})$ is the smallest common denominator of u, v and $\det \mathsf{P}$, so that

$$ru, \ \ rv, \ \ r \det \mathsf{P}$$

---

[6] The results of this section do not use the assumption that the polarization is principal in an essential way. The only modification for a polarization with $\chi(\Theta) = e$ is the definition of the determinant $\det \mathsf{P} = uve + h^2$.

are integers. Note that in particular

$$(rh)^2 = r \cdot r(uv + h^2) - (ru)(rv) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

hence the rational number $rh \in \mathbb{Z}$ as well. By the minimality of r, we must have

$$(r, ru, rv, r \det \mathsf{P}) = 1.$$

Define the Euler characteristic

$$\chi(\mathsf{P}) = r \det \mathsf{P} = r(uv + h^2) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A triple of rational numbers P is called *admissible* if $r(\mathsf{P})$ and $\chi(\mathsf{P})$ are odd integers.

For each admissible triple P we define the inverse triple

$$\mathsf{P}^{-1} = \left(\frac{u}{uv+h^2}, \frac{v}{uv+h^2}, -\frac{h}{uv+h^2}\right).$$

In particular

$$\det \mathsf{P}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det \mathsf{P}}.$$

The rank of the the triple P^{-1} is easily seen to be

$$r(\mathsf{P}^{-1}) = r(uv + h^2) = \chi(\mathsf{P}).$$

while the Euler characteristic equals

$$\chi(\mathsf{P}^{-1}) = r(uv + h^2) \det \mathsf{P}^{-1} = r(\mathsf{P}).$$

The triple P^{-1} is clearly admissible.

Note that for a triple P of rank r, we can make sense of the line bundle

$$\mathcal{O}(r\mathsf{P}) = \left(\Theta^{ru} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{rv}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P}^{rh}$$

over $A \times \widehat{A}$, and of the associated morphism

$$\Phi_{r\mathsf{P}} : A \times \widehat{A} \to A \times \widehat{A}.$$

3.2. **Semihomogeneous bundles.** For each admissible tripe P we construct the minimal semihomogeneous vector bundle $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ over $A \times \widehat{A}$ of slope determined by P:

$$\mu(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})) = u\Theta + v\widehat{\Theta} + h\mathcal{P}.$$

Lemma 4. *For each admissible triple* P*, there exists a simple semihomogeneous bundle* $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ *of rank*

$$\textit{rank}\ \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) = r(\mathsf{P})^2$$

and of slope P*. Its Euler characteristic equals*

$$\chi(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})) = \chi(\mathsf{P})^2.$$

Proof. In general, Mukai proved the existence of a simple semihomogeneous bundle $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ of any given slope in Corollary 6.23 of [M2]. We only need to show that the rank of $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ equals r^2, for $r = \text{rank } \mathsf{P}$. We let

$$\mathcal{L} = (ru)\Theta + (rv)\widehat{\Theta} + (rh)\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}(r\mathsf{P})$$

and note

$$\mu(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})) = \frac{c_1(\mathcal{L})}{r}.$$

Using Theorem 7.11 of [M2], the rank of $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ can be calculated from the cardinality of the set

$$\#\left\{K(\mathcal{L}) \cap A[r] \times \widehat{A}[r]\right\} = u^2.$$

In fact,

$$\text{rank } \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) = \frac{r^4}{u}.$$

We show that $u = r^2$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in K(\mathcal{L}) \quad &\iff \quad t_x^\star \Theta^{ru} \otimes t_y^\star \widehat{\Theta}^{rv} \otimes t_{x,y}^\star \mathcal{P}^{rh} = \Theta^{ru} \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{rv} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{rh} \\ &\iff \quad \Phi(rux) \otimes \widehat{\Phi}(rvy) = \mathcal{P}_x^{-rh} \otimes y^{-rh} \\ &\iff \quad \Phi(rux) = -rhy, \widehat{\Phi}(rvy) = -rhx. \end{aligned}$$

We invoke Lemma 17 of the Appendix to complete the proof. The lemma applies since

$$\left(r, ru, rv, \frac{(ru)(rv) + (rh)^2}{r}\right) = (r, ru, rv, r \det \mathsf{P}) = 1$$

by the definition of the rank.

Finally, the numerics of any semihomogeneous bundle are determined by its rank and slope

$$\text{ch } \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) = r^2 \exp(u\Theta + v\widehat{\Theta} + h\mathcal{P}).$$

Therefore,

$$\chi(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})) = r^2 \frac{1}{4!}(u\Theta + v\widehat{\Theta} + h\mathcal{P})^4 = r^2(uv + h^2)^2 = \chi(\mathsf{P})^2.$$

□

Proposition 1. *There is a unique simple semihomogeneous symmetric vector bundle* $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ *of rank* r^2 *and determinant* $\mathcal{O}(r^2\mathsf{P})$.

Proof. The proof uses the ideas of Section 2.1 of [O2]. We first adjust the determinant by suitable degree 0 line bundles, if needed, to achieve determinant $\mathcal{O}(r^2\mathsf{P})$. Then, to obtain symmetry, pick any possibly non-symmetric bundle $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$. By Theorem 7.11 in [M2], we must have

$$(-1)^\star \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) \otimes M$$

for some line bundle M of degree 0. In fact, $M^{r^2} = \mathcal{O}$ by comparing determinants. Pick a line bundle L of order dividing r^2 with $L^2 = M$. This is possible since r is odd. Then $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) \otimes L$ is symmetric semihomogeneous of the correct determinant.

To show uniqueness, assume $\mathbf{W}_1$ and $\mathbf{W}_2$ are two symmetric bundles as above, and write

$$\mathbf{W}_1 = \mathbf{W}_2 \otimes M.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{W}_1 = (-1)^\star \mathbf{W}_1 \implies \mathbf{W}_2 \otimes M = \mathbf{W}_2 \otimes M^{-1} \implies M^2 \in \Sigma(\mathbf{W}_2).$$

Proposition 7.1 of [M2] shows that $\Sigma(\mathbf{W}_2)$ has odd order, in fact equal to r^4. Thus, the assignment

$$M \to M^2$$

is bijective on $\Sigma(\mathbf{W}_2)$. Hence $M^2 = L^2$ for some $L \in \Sigma(\mathbf{W}_2)$ which shows

$$M = L \otimes \xi \implies \mathbf{W}_1 = \mathbf{W}_2 \otimes \xi$$

for a 2-torsion line bundle ξ. Comparing determinants we obtain $\xi^{r^2} = 1$. Since r is odd, we derive that $\xi = 1$. Therefore, $M \in \Sigma(\mathbf{W}_2)$, which gives $\mathbf{W}_1 = \mathbf{W}_2$.

□

Example 3. When $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write

$$u = \frac{b}{a}, \quad v = \frac{d}{c}, \text{ hence } \mathsf{P} = \left(\frac{b}{a}, \frac{d}{c}, h\right).$$

Assume for simplicity of notation that (a, c) are odd and coprime. Then $r = ac$, $\chi = bd + h^2 ac$ and

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) = \mathbf{W}_{a,b} \boxtimes \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{c,d} \otimes \mathcal{P}^h.$$

Here $\mathbf{W}_{a,b}$ is the unique simple symmetric semihomogeneous bundle on A of slope $\frac{b\Theta}{a}$, which has rank a^2, see [O2]. The notation $\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{c,d}$ denotes the analogous bundle of rank c^2 on the dual abelian variety.

3.3. **Properties of semihomogeneous bundles.**

In this subsection, we make precise some of Mukai's results in [M2] for the semihomogeneous bundles $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ constructed above.

Lemma 5. *For any admissible triple* P, *we have*

$$t^\star_{rx} \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) \cong \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) \otimes \Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}(x), \quad \textit{for } x \in A \times \widehat{A}.$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 6.8 of [M2], where the result is shown in greater generality. In fact, Mukai proves

$$t_{r^2x}^{\star}\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) \otimes \Phi_{r^2\mathsf{P}}(x),$$

but the two statements are clearly equivalent. Note that in the lemma, $\Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}(x)$ is understood as a line bundle over $A \times \widehat{A}$, but we decided not to introduce separate notation to indicate this fact. ☐

Lemma 6. *For each admissible triple* P*, we have*

$$\widehat{\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})} \cong \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4, the semihomogeneous bundle $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ is non-degenerate, so it satisfies the index theorem (the index is found in Remark 2 below). The Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})}$ is locally free. We calculate the slope

$$\mu(\widehat{\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})}) = \frac{1}{(uv+h^2)}(u\Theta + v\widehat{\Theta} - h\mathcal{P}).$$

Note that the slope of $\widehat{\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})}$ equals the slope of the bundle $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1})$. The rank of $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1})$ equals $\chi(\mathsf{P})^2$, which agrees with that of $\widehat{\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})}$. Both bundles are symmetric and semihomogeneous, and therefore they must coincide by uniqueness. ☐

Lemma 7. *We have*

$$\Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}^{\star}\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(-r^2\mathsf{P}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\chi^2}.$$

Proof. For each semihomogeneous bundle $\mathbf{W}$ over an abelian variety X, such as $X = A \times \widehat{A}$, Mukai introduced the subscheme

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{W}) = \{(x,y) \in X \times \widehat{X} : t_x^{\star}\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W} \otimes y\}.$$

Then, letting p denote the projection onto the first factor, he proved

$$p^{\star}\mathbf{W} = \bigoplus \mathcal{L}$$

for some line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over X, cf. Lemma 3.6 [M2]. We apply this result to our situation. First, note that by the previous lemma

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{rx}) &= t_{rx}^{\star}\widehat{\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1})} = t_{rx}^{\star}\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) \otimes \Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}(x)\\ &= \widehat{\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1})} \otimes \Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}(x) = \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(t_{\Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}(-x)}^{\star}\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1})).\end{aligned}$$

The shifts were omitted above for ease of notation. We derive

$$t_{\Phi_{-r\mathsf{P}}(x)}^{\star}\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1}) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{rx}.$$

This gives a well-defined morphism

$$q : X \to \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1})),\ x \mapsto (\Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}(x), -rx)$$

such that

$$p \circ q = \Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}.$$

Mukai's result implies that

$$\Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}^{\star}\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1}) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\chi^2},$$

for some line bundle $\mathcal{L}$. We claim $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(-r^2\mathsf{P})$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(r^2\mathsf{P}).$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}'$ is symmetric, and comparing determinants we see that

$$\mathcal{O}(-r^2\mathsf{P})^{\chi^2} = \Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}^{\star} \det \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1}) = \mathcal{L}^{\chi^2} \implies \mathcal{L}'^{\chi^2} = 0.$$

The first equality follows from Lemma 10 below. Since χ^2 is odd, this implies $\mathcal{L}'$ is trivial as desired. □

Remark 2. The Lemma implies that $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ satisfies the index theorem with index index (P) where

(i) if $\det \mathsf{P} > 0$, then index $(\mathsf{P}) = 2$;
(ii) if $\det \mathsf{P} < 0$, index (P) is either 0 or 4. The first case occurs when $u > 0$, while the second case occurs when $u < 0$.

Indeed, it suffices to establish the similar claims for $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1})$. To this end, we invoke the Lemma, and the observation that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-r^2\mathsf{P})$ satisfies the index theorem with the required indices.

Lemma 8. *The group*

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})) = \{y \in A \times \widehat{A} : \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) \otimes \mathcal{Q}_y = \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})\}$$

has r^4 elements and can be identified with

$$\Sigma = \{y \in (A \times \widehat{A})\,[r] : \Phi_{\chi\mathsf{P}^{-1}}(y) = 0\}.$$

Here, $\mathcal{Q}_y$ denotes the line bundle over $A \times \widehat{A}$ associated to y.

Proof. The fact that the set Σ has r^4 elements follows from Lemma 17 of the Appendix. We also have $\Sigma \subset \Sigma(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}))$ by Lemma 5 and the fact that along r-torsion points

$$\Phi_{\chi\mathsf{P}^{-1}} \circ \Phi_{\mathsf{rP}} = 0.$$

The claim follows, since by Proposition 7.1 of [M2] we know $\Sigma(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}))$ should have r^4 elements. □

In a similar fashion, we obtain

Lemma 9. *The group*

$$K(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})) = \{x \in A \times \widehat{A} : t_x^{\star}\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) = \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})\}$$

has χ^4 *elements, and equals*

$$K = \{x \in (A \times \widehat{A})\,[\chi] : \Phi_{r\mathsf{P}}(x) = 0\}.$$

Example 4. Assume that

$$\mathsf{P} = \left(\frac{b}{a}, \frac{d}{c}, 0\right),$$

with $(a, b) = (c, d) = 1$ odd coprime integers. For the bundle

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}) = \mathbf{W}_{a,b} \boxtimes \mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{c,d}$$

we have

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}^{-1}) = \mathbf{W}_{d,c} \boxtimes \mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{b,a}$$

which is indeed Fourier-Mukai dual to $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ since by [O2], we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{W}_{a,b}} = \mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{b,a}.$$

Also,

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})) = \widehat{A}[a] \times A[c],\ K(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})) = A[b] \boxtimes \widehat{A}[d],$$

consistently with the lemmas above.

3.4. **Pullbacks under isogenies.** We consider the pullbacks of the semihomogeneous bundles $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$ under a special class of isogenies. We will use these calculations to support our main conjecture in Section 4.

Specifically, we consider a matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

with integer entries, and set

$$\rho = \rho_M : A \times \widehat{A} \to A \times \widehat{A},\ (x, y) \to (ax + b\,\widehat{\Phi}(y), c\,\Phi(x) + dy).$$

For future reference we note that

$$\rho_{M^\circ} \circ \rho_{N^\circ} = \rho_{(MN)^\circ}$$

where

$$M \to M^\circ \text{ is the transformation } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

There are two other types of isogenies which will be considered and which are in fact particular cases of the ones above:

- for a triple of integers

  $$\mathsf{P} = (u, v, h) := u\Theta + v\widehat{\Theta} + h\mathcal{P},$$

  we note that

  $$\Phi_{\mathsf{P}} : A \times \widehat{A} \to A \times \widehat{A} \text{ can be expressed as } \Phi_{\mathsf{P}} = \rho_{\widetilde{\mathsf{P}}^\circ}$$

  where

  $$\widetilde{\mathsf{P}} = \begin{bmatrix} h & -v \\ u & h \end{bmatrix}.$$

  Observe that

  $$\det \widetilde{\mathsf{P}} = \det \mathsf{P},$$

  where the left hand side denotes the determinant of the matrix, while the right hand side is the determinant of the triple P.
- for a Mukai vector $v = (r, k\Theta, \chi)$ we will consider the isogeny

  $$\Psi_v : A \times \widehat{A} \to A \times \widehat{A},\ (x, y) \to (-\chi x + k\widehat{\Phi}(y), k\Phi(x) + ry)$$

  which equals

  $$\Psi_v = \rho_{\mathsf{V}^\circ},\ \text{for } \mathsf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} -\chi & -k \\ k & r \end{bmatrix}.$$

  Note that $\det \mathsf{V} = d_v$.

We determine the pullbacks of the three bundles $\{\Theta, \widehat{\Theta}, \mathcal{P}\}$ via the isogeny ρ_M.

Lemma 10. *The matrix of the transformation $\rho_M^\star$ on the lattice spanned by $\{\Theta, \widehat{\Theta}, \mathcal{P}\}$ equals*

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 & -c^2 & 2ac \\ -b^2 & d^2 & 2bd \\ -ab & -cd & ad - bc \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. We calculate

$$\rho^\star \Theta = (ax + b\widehat{\Phi}(y))^\star \Theta = \Theta^{a^2} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-b^2} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-ab}$$

via the see-saw theorem:

- the restriction to $A \times \{y\}$ equals

  $$a^\star t^\star_{b\widehat{\Phi}(y)} \Theta = a^\star(\Theta \otimes \Phi(\widehat{\Phi}(by))) = \Theta^{a^2} \otimes \mathcal{P}_y^{-ab}$$

- the restriction to $\{0\} \times \widehat{A}$ equals $(b\widehat{\Phi})^\star \Theta = \widehat{\Theta}^{-b^2}$.

In a similar fashion, we calculate

$$\rho^\star \widehat{\Theta} = \Theta^{-c^2} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{d^2} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-cd}.$$

Finally, the pullback

$$\rho^\star \mathcal{P} = \Theta^{2ac} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{2bd} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{ad-bc}$$

is subtler to find. First consider the dual isogeny

$$\rho^{+}(x,y)=(dx-b\widehat{\Phi}(y),-c\,\Phi(x)+ay)$$

and compute

$$\rho^{+}\circ\rho=ad+bc.$$

$$\Theta^{(ad+bc)^2}=(\rho^{+}\circ\rho)^{\star}\Theta=\rho^{\star}\left(\rho^{+}\right)^{\star}\Theta=\rho^{\star}\left(\Theta^{d^2}\boxtimes\widehat{\Theta}^{-b^2}\otimes\mathcal{P}^{bd}\right)$$
$$=\left(\Theta^{a^2}\boxtimes\widehat{\Theta}^{-b^2}\otimes\mathcal{P}^{-ab}\right)^{d^2}\otimes\left(\Theta^{-c^2}\boxtimes\widehat{\Theta}^{d^2}\otimes\mathcal{P}^{-cd}\right)^{-b^2}\otimes\rho^{\star}\mathcal{P}^{bd}.$$

This establishes that

$$\rho^{\star}\mathcal{P}=\Theta^{2ac}\boxtimes\widehat{\Theta}^{2bd}\otimes\mathcal{P}^{ad-bc},$$

at least up to bd torsion points. The torsion ambiguity is eliminated by restricting to $A\times\{0\}$ and $\{0\}\times\widehat{A}$. This uses that the pullbacks of the Poincaré bundle to A and $\widehat{A}$ are:

$$(a,c\,\Phi)^{\star}\mathcal{P}=\Theta^{2ac},\ (b\,\widehat{\Phi},d)^{\star}\mathcal{P}=\widehat{\Theta}^{2bd}.$$

□

For future reference we note that

$$R^{-1}=\frac{1}{(ad+bc)^2}\begin{bmatrix}d^2 & -c^2 & -2cd\\ -b^2 & a^2 & -2ab\\ bd & ac & ad-bc\end{bmatrix}$$

4. APPLICATIONS TO STRANGE DUALITY

The theory of semihomogeneous bundles introduced in the previous section will now be applied in the setting of strange duality. In particular, we conjecture an exact formula for the Verlinde bundles, and prove a version of the level 1 duality.

4.1. **Étale pullbacks in the setting of strange duality.** Recall the conventions of Section 2. The vectors

$$v=(r,k\Theta,\chi),\ \ w=(r',k'\Theta,\chi')$$

are primitive and orthogonal *i.e.*,

$$r\chi'+r'\chi+2kk'=0. \tag{12}$$

The dimensions

$$d_v=\frac{1}{2}\langle v,v\rangle=k^2-r\chi,\ d_w=\frac{1}{2}\langle w,w\rangle=k'^2-r'\chi'$$

are assumed to be odd positive integers by **(A.2)**.

We continue to use the étale diagram (5). We observed that for the isogeny

$$\Psi_v(x,y)=(-\chi x+k\widehat{\Phi}(y),k\Phi(x)+ry),$$

we have

$$\Psi_v^\star \Theta_w = \Theta_w \boxtimes \mathcal{L} \implies \Psi_v^\star \, \mathbf{E}(v,w) = H^0(K_v, \Theta_w) \otimes \mathcal{L} \tag{13}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\Theta^{-\chi' k - \chi k'} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-rk' - r'k}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P}^{r'\chi + kk'}.$$

Lemma 10 gives the matrix corresponding to the pullback via Ψ_v. Its inverse equals

$$R^{-1} = \frac{1}{(r\chi - k^2)^2} \begin{bmatrix} r^2 & -k^2 & -2kr \\ -k^2 & \chi^2 & 2\chi k \\ kr & -k\chi & -r\chi - k^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the slope of the Verlinde bundles $\mathbf{E}(v,w)$ has coordinates

$$\frac{1}{(r\chi - k^2)^2} \begin{bmatrix} r^2 & -k^2 & -2kr \\ -k^2 & \chi^2 & 2\chi k \\ kr & -k\chi & -r\chi - k^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\chi k' - \chi' k \\ -rk' - r'k \\ r'\chi + kk' \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{k^2 - r\chi} \begin{bmatrix} rk' + r'k \\ \chi k' + \chi' k \\ r'\chi + kk' \end{bmatrix}$$

in the basis $\{\Theta, \widehat{\Theta}, \mathcal{P}\}$. The orthogonality equation (12) was used to simplify the answer.

Let us write

$$\mathsf{P}(v,w) = \frac{1}{d_v} \begin{bmatrix} rk' + r'k \\ \chi k' + \chi' k \\ r'\chi + kk' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the first two entries are obtained from $c_1(v \otimes w)$ and $c_1(\widehat{v} \otimes \widehat{w})$, while the last entry $r'\chi + kk'$ squares to

$$-d_v d_w - \frac{1}{2} c_1(v \otimes w) c_1(\widehat{v} \otimes \widehat{w}).$$

Similarly, $\mathsf{P}(w,v)$ is defined by reversing the roles of v and w

$$\mathsf{P}(w,v) = \frac{1}{d_w} \begin{bmatrix} rk' + r'k \\ \chi k' + \chi' k \\ r\chi' + kk' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, we obtained

$$\mu(\mathbf{E}(v,w)) = \mathsf{P}(v,w), \;\; \mu(\mathbf{E}(w,v)) = \mathsf{P}(w,v).$$

The simplest candidates for bundles with these slopes are $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w))$ and $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(w,v))$. We will check shortly in Lemma 11 that $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w))$ does in fact pullback to a direct sum of copies of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ of (13) under the morphism Ψ_v.

Let us however first discuss the numerics of the triples $\mathsf{P}(v,w)$ and $\mathsf{P}(w,v)$. A simple calculation shows that

$$\det \mathsf{P}(v,w) = -\frac{d_w}{d_v}.$$

Let

$$\Delta = (rk' + r'k, \chi k' + \chi' k, d_v, d_w)$$

When $(k, k')=1$, we have the even simpler expression

$$\Delta = (d_v, d_w).$$

Clearly, $\mathsf{P}(v,w)$ has

$$\text{rank } \mathsf{P}(v,w) = \frac{d_v}{\Delta},\ \chi(\mathsf{P}(v,w)) = -\frac{d_w}{\Delta}.$$

Similarly,

$$\text{rank } \mathsf{P}(w,v) = \frac{d_w}{\Delta},\ \chi(\mathsf{P}(w,v)) = -\frac{d_v}{\Delta}.$$

In fact, a simple calculation shows

$$\mathsf{P}(v,w) = -\mathsf{P}(w,v)^{-1}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 6, the bundles $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w))$ and $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(w,v))^{\vee}$ are connected by Fourier-Mukai transform:

$$\mathbf{W}(\widehat{\mathsf{P}(v,w)}) \cong \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(w,v))^{\vee}.$$

To summarize, we provided evidence for the claim that the building blocks of the Verlinde bundles $\mathbf{E}(v,w)$ are the semihomogeneous bundles $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w))$ with

$$\text{rank} = \frac{d_v^2}{\Delta^2},\ \chi = \frac{d_w^2}{\Delta^2},$$

consistently with the Fourier-Mukai symmetry of Section 2.4. This statement is however only true up to torsion; see Conjecture 2 below.

Example 5. We consider the case when $k=0$ *i.e.* $c_1(v)=0$. Then, the slope of $\mathbf{E}(v,w)$ equals

$$\mathsf{P}(v,w) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{k'}{\chi} \\ \frac{-k'}{r} \\ -\frac{r'}{r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{k'}{\chi} \\ -\frac{k'}{r} \\ -h \end{bmatrix},$$

where we wrote $r' = rh, \chi' = -\chi h$. Furthermore, $\Delta = ab$, where

$$a = (k', \chi),\ b = (k', r).$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w)) = \mathbf{W}_{-\frac{\chi}{a}, \frac{k'}{a}} \boxtimes \mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{\frac{r}{b}, -\frac{k'}{b}} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-h}.$$

□

We now check that the bundles $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w))$ split as direct sum of line bundles under the isogeny Ψ_v, as claimed above.

Lemma 11. *The pullback*

$$\Psi_v^\star \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w)) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{(d_v/\Delta)^2}$$

splits as direct sum of line bundles, where

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\Theta^{-\chi' k - \chi k'} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-rk' - r'k}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P}^{r'\chi + kk'}.$$

Proof. We consider the dual vector $w^\vee = (r', -k'\Theta, \chi')$ and note that for

$$\mathsf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$\mathsf{J}\mathsf{W}^\vee \mathsf{V}^{-1} = \widetilde{\mathsf{P}(v,w)}.$$

In particular

$$\Phi_{\frac{d_v}{\Delta}\mathsf{P}(v,w)} \circ \Psi_v = \rho_{\frac{d_v}{\Delta}\widetilde{\mathsf{P}(v,w)}} \circ \rho_{\mathsf{V}^\circ} = \rho_{\mathsf{J}^\circ} \circ \rho_{(\mathsf{W}^\vee)^\circ} \circ \frac{d_v}{\Delta} = \rho_{\mathsf{J}^\circ} \circ \Psi_{w^\vee} \circ \frac{d_v}{\Delta}.$$

It suffices to check that $\Psi_v^\star \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w))$ splits as direct sum of the same line bundle L, since then the line bundle is identified uniquely just as in Lemma 7 above, using invariance under (-1) and the determinant calculation. In particular, it suffices to check that

$$q : X \to \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w))),\ \ x \mapsto (\Psi_v(x), \rho_{\mathsf{J}^\circ} \circ \Psi_{w^\vee}(x))$$

is a well defined morphism, since then

$$p \circ q = \Psi_v$$

and we can invoke Mukai's result which shows that the pullback under p already splits. It remains to prove

$$t^\star_{\Psi_v(x)} \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w)) = \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w)) \otimes \rho_{\mathsf{J}^\circ} \Psi_{w^\vee}(x). \tag{14}$$

Our general study of the semihomogeneous bundles $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$, in particular Lemma 5 shows that

$$t^\star_{\frac{d_v}{\Delta}y} \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w)) = \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w)) \otimes \Phi_{\frac{d_v}{\Delta}\mathsf{P}(v,w)}(y). \tag{15}$$

Fix x and write

$$x = \frac{d_v}{\Delta}x', \quad \text{for } x' \in X.$$

Set $y = \Psi_v(x')$. We calculate

$$\Phi_{\frac{d_v}{\Delta}\mathsf{P}(v,w)}(y) = \Phi_{\frac{d_v}{\Delta}\mathsf{P}(v,w)} \Psi_v(x') = \rho_{\mathsf{J}^\circ} \Psi_{w^\vee} \frac{d_v}{\Delta}(x') = \rho_{\mathsf{J}^\circ} \Psi_{w^\vee}(x).$$

Now (14) follows from (15) by substitution.

□

4.2. **Level 1 strange duality.** As a corollary of the preceding calculations, we prove the following analogue of the level 1 strange duality for curves established in [BNR].

Theorem 5. *When $d_v = 1$ or $d_w = 1$, the strange duality map is either zero or an isomorphism.*

Proof. Assuming $d_w = 1$, we have $\Delta = 1$. The ranks of the Verlinde bundle $\mathbf{E}(v, w)^\vee$ and of the Fourier-Mukai $\widehat{\mathbf{E}(w, v)}$ are both equal to

$$\frac{d_v^2}{d_v + d_w}\binom{d_v + d_w}{d_v} = d_v^2.$$

Both bundles must coincide with $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v, w))^\vee$, which being simple semihomogeneous is stable with respect to any polarization, cf. [M2]. Any map between them, in particular the strange duality map, would have to be an isomorphism or zero. The case $d_v = 1$ is entirely similar. ☐

Remark 3. (i) To clarify, the theorem assumes $(\mathbf{A.1}) - (\mathbf{A.3})$ are satisfied. In fact, assumption $(\mathbf{A.2})$ that d_v be odd can be removed by our methods keeping track of signs carefully.

(ii) The vanishing of higher cohomology $(\mathbf{A.3})$ is a more delicate assumption. This is achieved for $\Theta_w \to \mathfrak{M}_v$ when k'/r' sufficiently large with respect to r, k, χ, cf. Lemma 3. For the bundle $\Theta_v \to \mathfrak{M}_w$, a precise statement is easier to make (when $d_w = 1$). Since K_w is a point, this comes down to the vanishing of the cohomology of the line bundle

$$\mathcal{L} = \left(\Theta^{-\chi k' - \chi' k} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-rk' - r'k}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P}^{r\chi' + kk'}$$

which is automatic if

$$rk' + r'k > 0, \chi k' + \chi' k < 0.$$

In fact, these inequalities are equivalent and are the contents of assumption $(\mathbf{A.4})$, as seen in Section 2.

For instance, if either

(a) k'/r' sufficiently large with respect to r, k, χ; or
(b) $k = 1$ and $\chi' \leq 0$,

then we obtain the vanishing of cohomology on both sides.

Indeed, the first item (a) is already discussed in the beginning of the paragraph. For (b), Proposition 4 of [MO2] is used to show that Θ_w has no higher cohomology over $\mathfrak{M}_v$. Since $d_v \geq 1$ we have $\chi \leq 0$. Also $2kk' = -r\chi' - r'\chi \geq 0 \implies k' \geq 0$. Therefore $rk' + r'k > 0$, so Θ_v also has no higher cohomology over $\mathfrak{M}_w$.

(iii) Assumption (**A**.**4**) is also related to the statement that the duality morphism is non-zero. This is the case if and only if the locus

$$\Theta = \{(E, F) : h^0(E \otimes F) \neq 0\}$$

has expected codimension 1 in the product space $\mathfrak{M}_v \times \mathfrak{M}_w$. This is known in at least two cases:

(a) $rk' + r'k = 1$, or

(b) $v = (1, 0, -1)$, $w = (r, kr\Theta, r)$, with Θ possibly non-principal.

Indeed, for the first item, pick arbitrary sheaves E and F of Mukai vectors v and w and write

$$G = E \otimes F.$$

Then $\chi(G) = 0$, and $H^2(G) = 0$. We claim that for general y we have

$$H^0(G \otimes y) = 0,$$

which shows that $(E, F \otimes y)$ is not in the theta locus. The proof of the last claim is essentially Lemma 6.4(b) of [Y1], or alternatively Remark 3.1 of the same paper. Indeed, every such sheaf G is obtained, up to dualization, as the Fourier-Mukai of a *sheaf* of rank 0, and picking y away from the support, we obtain the claim.

For the second item, let $E = I_x$ and $F = I_Z(k\Theta)^{\oplus r}$, with

$$\text{length}(Z) = k^2\chi(\Theta) - 1.$$

Clearly, we can find x and Z such that

$$h^0(k\Theta \otimes I_{Z+x}) = 0 \implies h^0(E \otimes F) = 0.$$

We believe Θ is a divisor in many other cases, but we will address the question in detail elsewhere. For instance, the methods of Theorem 5 in [MO2] should give examples over product abelian surfaces $A = E_1 \times E_2$ when $c_1(v)$, $c_1(w)$ are fiber classes.

Example 6. (i) To illustrate a set of numerics for which SD is an isomorphism, we take

$$v = (1, 0, -1), \ w = (1, k\Theta, 1)$$

for $k > 0$. Indeed, Example 9 below and Remark 3 (iii)(b) take care of higher cohomology and show that SD is non-zero, respectively. Therefore, SD is an isomorphism.

We believe that

$$v = (1, 0, -1), w = (r, kr\Theta, r)$$

will always work for $k >> 0$. In fact Remark 3 (ii)(a) and (iii)(b) place us in the favorable situation that SD is non-zero and there is no higher cohomology in the theta bundles. The only obstacle in this case are singularities of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_w$; the Verlinde formula (1) was only established over smooth moduli.

(ii) Similarly, we have a rank 0 example

$$v = (0, \Theta, 0), \;\; w = (r, 0, \chi).$$

Vanishing of higher cohomology for $\Theta_v \to \mathfrak{M}_w$ follows from [Li], while for $\Theta_w \to \mathfrak{M}_v$ we use Remark 3 (ii) (since $d_v = 1$). That the theta locus is a divisor can be argued as follows. There exists a genus 2 curve C such that $A = \mathrm{Jac}(C)$. We inductively construct sheaves F_r of type $(r, 0, \chi)$ as extensions

$$0 \to \mathcal{O} \to F_r \to F_{r-1} \to 0,$$

starting with $F_1 = I_Z$, where Z avoids a fixed Abel-Jacobi image of C. Such extensions always exist since inductively $\chi(F_r) < 0$, hence $\mathrm{Ext}^1(F_r, \mathcal{O}) \neq 0$. Furthermore,

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \to F_r|_C \to F_{r-1}|_C \to 0.$$

Now, we pick a line bundle L of degree 1 over C such that

$$\chi(F_r|_C \otimes L) = 0, \;\; h^0(L) = 0.$$

Then Θ_{F_r} is a divisor on $\mathfrak{M}_v$ since it does not pass through $i_\star L$.

(iii) More examples can be constructed from these by applying the Fourier-Mukai functor. For instance, the vectors

$$v = (r, \Theta, -1), w = (2, \Theta, 0)$$

of Example 10(iii) also ensure that SD is an isomorphism. Indeed, we show there that vanishing of higher cohomology is not needed, while the fact that the theta locus is a divisor is also clear by the same argument.

4.3. **Conjectural description of the Verlinde bundles.**

In this subsection, we state our main conjecture expressing the Verlinde bundles in terms of the semihomogeneous vector bundles $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})$.

In order to make the precise statement, we need to introduce the group $\mathsf{Q}_{v,w}$. Recall the morphism

$$\Psi_v(x, y) = (-\chi x + k\widehat{\Phi}(y), k\Phi(x) + ry).$$

We pullback line bundles over $A \times \widehat{A}$ via Ψ_v. By Lemma 11 we have

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w))) \subset \text{Ker } \Psi_v^\star.$$

The quotient

$$\mathsf{Q}_{v,w} = \text{Ker } \Psi_v^\star / \Sigma(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w)))$$

has $\frac{d_v^4}{(d_v/\Delta)^4} = \Delta^4$ elements.

Lemma 12. *All elements of* $\mathsf{Q}_{v,w}$ *have orders dividing* Δ.

Proof. We will show in fact that the group $\mathsf{Q}_{v,w}$ is isomorphic to $A[\Delta]$. Let m and n be coprime integers such that

$$\chi m \equiv -kn \mod d_v, \; rn \equiv -km \mod d_v.$$

These exist by Lemma 16 of the Appendix. Then

$$\xi^m \boxtimes \widehat{\Phi}^\star \xi^n \in \text{Ker } \Psi_v^\star,$$

for each $\xi \to A$ which is d_v-torsion. Indeed, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_v^\star \left(\xi^m \boxtimes \widehat{\Phi}^\star \xi^n\right) &= (-\chi x + k\widehat{\Phi}(y), k\Phi(x) + ry)^\star \left(\xi^m \boxtimes \widehat{\Phi}^\star \xi^n\right) \\ &= \xi^{-m\chi - nk} \boxtimes \widehat{\Phi}^\star \xi^{mk+nr} = \mathcal{O}.\end{aligned}$$

If ξ is in fact d_v/Δ-torsion, the bundles above are in fact in $\Sigma(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w))$ by Lemma 8 and the fact that

$$\Phi_{\frac{d_w}{\Delta}\mathsf{P}(w,v)}(\widehat{\Phi}(\xi^n), \xi^m) = 0.$$

The map

$$\mathsf{Q}_{v,w} \to A[\Delta], \;\; \xi^m \boxtimes \hat{\Psi}(\xi^n) \mapsto \frac{d_v}{\Delta}\xi$$

is then a group isomorphism. □

Conjecture 2. *Assuming* $(\mathbf{A}.\mathbf{2}) - (\mathbf{A}.\mathbf{3})$*, we have*

$$\mathbf{E}(v,w) = \bigoplus_{\zeta} \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w)) \otimes \zeta^{\oplus \mathsf{m}_\zeta},$$

where the line bundles ζ *belong to* $\mathsf{Q}_{v,w}$. *The multiplicity of a line bundle* ζ *of order* ω *is*

$$\mathsf{m}_\omega = \frac{1}{d_v + d_w} \sum_{\delta | \Delta} \frac{\delta^4}{\Delta^2} \left\{ \frac{\Delta/\omega}{\delta} \right\} \binom{d_v/\delta + d_w/\delta}{d_v/\delta}.$$

Example 7. When $(d_v, d_w) = 1$, we obtain $\Delta = 1$, hence we expect

$$\mathbf{E}(v,w) = \bigoplus \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v,w)),$$

where the number of summands equals $\frac{1}{d_v+d_w}\binom{d_v+d_w}{d_v}$.

Example 8. We consider the case $k = 0$. We have $\Psi_v = (-\chi, r)$ so

$$\text{Ker } \Psi_v^\star = \widehat{A}[-\chi] \times A[r].$$

Writing

$$(k', \chi) = a, \ (k', r) = b,$$

we noted in Example 5 that

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v, w)) = \mathbf{W}_{-\frac{\chi}{a}, \frac{k'}{a}} \boxtimes \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{r}{b}, -\frac{k'}{b}} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-h}.$$

Then it is clear that

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P})) = \widehat{A}\left[-\frac{\chi}{a}\right] \times A\left[\frac{r}{b}\right].$$

Therefore

$$\mathsf{Q}_{v,w} \cong \widehat{A}[a] \times A[b].$$

There are precisely $(ab)^4$ possible torsion points in $\mathsf{Q}_{v,w}$. For each element $\zeta \in \widehat{A}[a] \times A[b]$, any choice of $\ell \in \widehat{A} \times A$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\chi}{a}, \frac{r}{b}\right) \ell = \zeta$$

gives a well-defined element of $\mathsf{Q}_{v,w}$. The conjecture claims that

$$\mathbf{E}(v, w) = \bigoplus_{\zeta} \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v, w)) \otimes \ell^{\mathsf{m}_\zeta}.$$

The line bundle ζ of order ω dividing (a, b) should appear with multiplicity

$$\mathsf{m}_\omega = \frac{1}{d_v + d_w} \sum_{\delta | ab} \frac{\delta^4}{(ab)^2} \left\{\frac{ab/\omega}{\delta}\right\} \binom{d_v/\delta + d_w/\delta}{d_v/\delta}.$$

$\square$

The symmetry of the multiplicities under exchange of v and w in the expression above is obvious. This has the following consequence:

Lemma 13. *Conjecture 2 implies that*

$$\mathbf{E}(v, w)^\vee \cong \widehat{\mathbf{E}(w, v)}.$$

Proof. Fix $\zeta \in \mathsf{Q}_{v,w}$ of order dividing ω. We consider a summand $\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v, w)) \otimes \zeta$ appearing in the conjectured expression of the Verlinde bundle $\mathbf{E}(v, w)$. We calculate the Fourier-Mukai dual

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v, w)) \otimes \zeta) = t_\zeta^\star \mathbf{W}(\widehat{\mathsf{P}(v, w)}) = t_\zeta^\star \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(w, v))^\vee.$$

Writing

$$\zeta = \frac{d_w}{\Delta}(\zeta'),$$

the above expression becomes

$$t^{\star}_{\frac{d_w}{\Delta}\zeta'}\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(w,v))^{\vee} = \left(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(w,v)) \otimes \Phi_{\frac{d_w}{\Delta}\mathsf{P}(w,v)}(\zeta')\right)^{\vee},$$

via equation (15). We observe that $\Phi_{\frac{d_w}{\Delta}\mathsf{P}(w,v)}(\zeta')$ is an element of $\mathsf{Q}_{w,v}$. Indeed, an easy calculation gives

$$\Psi_w^{\star}\Phi_{\frac{d_w}{\Delta}\mathsf{P}(w,v)}(\zeta') = \Psi_{\mathsf{J}}^{\star}\Psi_v^{\star}\left(\frac{d_w}{\Delta}\zeta'\right) = \Psi_{\mathsf{J}}^{\star}\Psi_v^{\star}\zeta = \mathcal{O}.$$

Note that since $\frac{d_w}{\Delta}(\omega\zeta') = 0$, by Lemma 5 we have

$$\Phi_{\frac{d_w}{\Delta}\mathsf{P}(w,v)}(\omega\zeta') \in \Sigma(\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(w,v)).$$

Hence $\Phi_{\frac{d_w}{\Delta}\mathsf{P}(w,v)}(\zeta')$ has order dividing ω in $\mathsf{Q}_{w,v}$. Thus, every summand appearing in $\mathbf{E}(v,w)$ gives rise to a corresponding summand in $\widehat{\mathbf{E}(w,v)}^{\vee}$ and the multiplicities match as well. ☐

4.4. **Invariance under twists.** In this subsection we provide a simple check of Conjecture 2. In our context, various moduli spaces of sheaves can be related by simple isomorphisms. For instance, we may twist by arbitrary line bundles to change the determinant:

$$i : \mathfrak{M}_v \to \mathfrak{M}_{v_0},\ E \mapsto E \otimes \Theta^{-\ell}$$

where

$$v_0 = v\exp(-\ell\Theta).$$

Clearly, for the Mukai vector

$$w_0 = w\exp(\ell\Theta)$$

we obtain

$$i^{\star}\Theta_{w_0} = \Theta_w.$$

We show that

Lemma 14. *If Conjecture 2 is true for the pair (v, w), then it is true for the pair (v_0, w_0).*

Proof. We let

$$\alpha_0 : \mathfrak{M}_{v_0} \to A \times \widehat{A},\ E \mapsto (\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E) \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{r\ell - k}, \det E \otimes \Theta^{r\ell-k})$$

be the Albanese map. We show

$$\alpha_0 \circ i = \rho_M \circ \alpha \tag{16}$$

where M is the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\ell \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

so that

$$\rho_M(x,y) = (x - \ell\widehat{\Phi}(y), y).$$

Indeed, we only need to prove that

$$\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E \otimes \Theta^{-\ell}) = \left(\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E) \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-r\ell}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{-\ell\widehat{\Phi}(\det E \otimes \Theta^{-k})}.$$

This identity will be established by the methods of Section 2. First, for a sheaf E of rank r and first Chern class $k\Theta$, set

$$f(E) = \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E \otimes \Theta^{-\ell})^{\vee} \otimes \left(\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E) \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-r\ell}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{-\ell\widehat{\Phi}(\det E \otimes \Theta^{-k})}.$$

We show $f(E) = \mathcal{O}$. As usual, f depends only on the K-theory class of E. We may assume that $E = \mathcal{O}_y$ or E is a line bundle. Note that

$$f(\mathcal{O}_y) = \mathcal{O},\ f(\Theta^k) = \mathcal{O}.$$

For an arbitrary line bundle E, the proof follows. Indeed, it suffices to observe that for any y of degree 0 we have

$$f(E \otimes y) = \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E \otimes y \otimes \Theta^{-\ell})^{\vee} \otimes \left(\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E \otimes y) \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-r\ell}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{-\ell\widehat{\Phi}(\det E \otimes ry \otimes \Theta^{-k})}$$

$$= t_y^{\star} \det \left(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E \otimes \Theta^{-\ell})\right)^{\vee} \otimes \left(t_y^{\star} \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E) \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-r\ell}\right) \otimes \mathcal{P}_{-\ell\widehat{\Phi}(\det E \otimes \Theta^{-k})} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{-r\ell\widehat{\Phi}(y)}$$

$$= t_y^{\star} f(E).$$

With (16) understood, note that

$$\rho_M^{\star}\mathbf{E}(v_0, w_0) = \mathbf{E}(v, w).$$

We confirm the expression of Conjecture 2 obeys the same equality. It suffices to show that

(i) $\rho_M^{\star}\mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v_0, w_0)) = \mathbf{W}(\mathsf{P}(v, w))$
(ii) $\rho_M^{\star} : \mathsf{Q}_{v_0, w_0} \to \mathsf{Q}_{v,w}$ is an isomorphism.

These two statements are equivalent to the assertions

(i) $\rho_M^{\star}\mathsf{P}(v_0, w_0) = \mathsf{P}(v, w)$
(ii) $\Psi_{v_0} \circ \rho_L = \rho_M \circ \Psi_v$ for the matrix $L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \ell & 1 \end{bmatrix}$,

which are immediate verifications. For instance, (i) uses the matrix of the pullback $\rho_M^{\star}$ which was calculated to be $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\ell^2 & 1 & -2\ell \\ \ell & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. □

5. The Verlinde bundles in degree 0

This section contains the proof of the main results. In particular, we confirm Conjecture 2 in degree 0 in Theorem 3. The main step is the Lefschetz-Riemann-Roch trace calculation of Theorem 2. We also prove the Fourier-Mukai symmetries of the Verlinde bundles in Theorem 4. Variants of these results are also considered.

5.1. **Setup.** We specialize to the case $c_1(v) = 0$ *i.e.* we assume

$$v = (r, 0, \chi),\ \ w = (rh, k\Theta, -\chi h)$$

with r, χ odd, and $(r, \chi) = 1$. The integers $(rh, k, -\chi h)$ were previously denoted (r', k', χ'), but the new notation should make the exposition easier to follow.

The usual étale diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_v \times A \times \widehat{A} \arrow[r, "\tau"] \arrow[d, "p"] & \mathfrak{M}_v \arrow[d, "\alpha"] \\
A \times \widehat{A} \arrow[r, "\Psi"] & A \times \widehat{A}
\end{tikzcd} .$$

takes a simpler form. In particular,

$$\Psi(x, y) = (-\chi x, ry).$$

In Subsection 2.3, we calculated the pullback

$$\tau^\star \Theta_w = \Theta_w \boxtimes \left(\Theta^{-\chi} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-r}\right)^k \otimes (\mathcal{P}^{r\chi})^h . \tag{17}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\Psi^\star \mathbf{E}(v, w) = p_\star \tau^\star \Theta_w = H^0(K_v, \Theta_w) \otimes \left(\Theta^{-\chi} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-r}\right)^k \otimes \Psi^\star \mathcal{P}^{-h}. \tag{18}$$

5.2. **Group actions.** We consider actions of the torsion group

$$\mathsf{G} = A[-\chi] \times \widehat{A}[r]$$

on the three spaces K_v, $A \times \widehat{A}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_v$ appearing in the diagram. The action of G on $A \times \widehat{A}$ is given by translation on both factors, the action on $\mathfrak{M}_v$ is trivial, while the action on K_v is given by

$$(x, y) \cdot E = t_{-x}^\star E \otimes y^{-1}.$$

There are induced actions of a subgroup K of G on the theta bundles, which we now describe. Writing

$$a = \gcd(k, \chi),\ \ b = \gcd(k, r),$$

we conclude that

$$\gcd(a, b) = 1 \text{ and } a, b \text{ are odd}.$$

We set

$$\mathsf{K} = A[a] \times \widehat{A}[b] \hookrightarrow \mathsf{G}.$$

The morphisms τ and Ψ are invariant under the action of G, hence also under the action of K. The bundles $\tau^\star \Theta_w$ and $\Psi^\star \mathcal{P}$ are naturally K-equivariant. We claim that

$$\Theta_w \to K_v$$

is K-equivariant as well.

Certainly, $\Theta^{-\chi k} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-rk}$ carries a linearization of the Heisenberg group

$$\mathsf{H} = \mathsf{H}[-\chi k] \times \widehat{\mathsf{H}}[rk]$$

where

$$0 \to \mathbb{C}^\star \times \mathbb{C}^\star \to \mathsf{H} \to A[-\chi k] \times \widehat{A}[rk] \to 0.$$

Our convention is that $\mathsf{H}[m]$ denotes the Heisenberg group of Θ^m consisting of pairs (x, f) where

$$f : t_x^\star \Theta^m \to \Theta^m$$

is an isomorphism, while $\widehat{\mathsf{H}}[n]$ denotes the Heisenberg group of $\widehat{\Theta}^n$. We have a natural morphism

$$\iota : \mathsf{H}[a] \times \widehat{\mathsf{H}}[b] \to \mathsf{H}$$

which over the centers restricts to

$$(\alpha, \beta) \to (\alpha^{-\frac{\chi k}{a}}, \beta^{\frac{rk}{b}}).$$

It is now useful to pass to the finite Heisenberg groups $\widetilde{\mathsf{H}}$ obtained by restricting the centers to roots of unity. For instance

$$0 \to \mu_{-\chi k} \times \mu_{rk} \to \widetilde{\mathsf{H}} \to A[-\chi k] \times \widehat{A}[rk] \to 0,$$

and similarly

$$0 \to \mu_a \to \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}[a] \to A[a] \to 0, \; 0 \to \mu_b \to \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}[b] \to \widehat{A}[b] \to 0.$$

Since the center of $\widetilde{\mathsf{H}}[a] \times \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}[b]$ is trivial under ι, we obtain a morphism

$$j : A[a] \times \widehat{A}[b] \to \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}.$$

Furthermore, since a, b are odd, the identification

$$\Theta^{-\chi k} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-rk} \cong (a, b)^\star \left(\Theta^{\frac{-\chi k}{a^2}} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-\frac{k\chi}{b^2}} \right)$$

is compatible with the action of the group

$$\mathsf{K} = A[a] \times \widehat{A}[b]$$

coming from j on the left, and via the pullback on the right. Using (17), and the natural K-action on line bundles $\tau^\star\Theta_w$ and $\Psi^\star\mathcal{P}$, we obtain a K-linearization of

$$\Theta_w \to K_v.$$

5.3. **Trace calculations.** As a result of the above discussion, the space of generalized theta functions

$$H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)$$

carries a K-action as well. We will determine the K-representation $H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)$ explicitly, assuming that $(\mathbf{A.2}) - (\mathbf{A.3})$ are satisfied.

Theorem 2. *Consider* $\zeta = (x, y) \in A[a] \times \widehat{A}[b]$ *of order* δ. *Then the trace of* ζ *on the space of generalized theta functions equals*

$$\textit{Trace}\ (\zeta, H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)) = \frac{d_v^2}{d_v + d_w}\binom{d_v/\delta + d_w/\delta}{d_v/\delta}.$$

Proof. The proof is an application of the Lefschetz-Riemann-Roch theorem, as in [O2]. The numerical details are however very different.

Step 1. We first find the fixed points of the action of ζ:

$$t_{-x}^\star E \cong E \otimes y^{-1}, \;\; E \in K_v.$$

Possibly replacing a and b by some of their divisors, we may assume that the order of x is a and the order of y is b. Of course

$$a \,|\, \chi, \;\; b \,|\, r \implies \gcd(a, b) = 1.$$

We have $\delta = ab$.

Now,

$$t_{-x}^\star E \cong E \otimes y^{-1} \implies t_{ax}^\star E \cong E \otimes y^a \implies E \cong E \otimes y^a.$$

Since the order of y is coprime to a, we obtain

$$E \cong E \otimes y \text{ and consequently } t_x^\star E \cong E.$$

Consider the abelian cover

$$p : A \to A'' = A/\langle x \rangle.$$

The Galois group G of the cover is generated by translations by x. Let $\widehat{G}$ be the dual group, and pick a generator x'' of $\widehat{G}$. This corresponds to a line bundle $x'' \to A''$ of order a which determines the cover p. Then

$$E = p^\star E''$$

for some sheaf E'' on A'' with

$$\text{rank } E'' = r, \;\; \chi(E'') = \frac{\chi}{a}.$$

We have

$$p^\star E'' = E \cong E \otimes y = p^\star(E'' \otimes y'')$$

where

$$y = p^\star y''.$$

Therefore,

$$E'' = E \otimes y'' \otimes x''^{\ell}$$

for some ℓ. Replacing y'' by $y'' \otimes x''^{\ell}$ we may assume

$$E'' = E'' \otimes y''.$$

Note that

$$y^b = p^\star y''^b = 1 \implies y''^b \in \langle x'' \rangle \implies y''^{ab} = 1.$$

Therefore, the order Δ of y'' satisfies $\Delta | ab$. We will show shortly that in fact $\Delta = b$.

Now, let

$$\pi : A' \to A''$$

be the cover determined by y'' which has degree Δ. Let G' denote the Galois group of the cover, and let $\widehat{G'}$ be the dual group which is generated by y''. The following facts were established in [NR] for arbitrary abelian covers:

(i) if $E'' \cong E'' \otimes y''$, then E'' is the pushforward of a sheaf $E' \to A'$:

$$E = \pi_\star E'$$

(ii) $\pi_\star E_1' = \pi_\star E_2'$ iff $E_1' = \beta^\star E_2'$ for some $\beta \in G'$

(iii)

$$\pi^\star \pi_\star E' = \bigotimes_{\beta \in G'} \beta^\star E'$$

(iv) the action of $y'' \in \widehat{G'}$ on $\pi^\star \pi_\star E'$ leaves each of the factors $\beta^\star E'$ invariant. The weight of the action on each factor equals $\langle y'', \beta \rangle$.

We conclude

$$E'' = \pi_\star E'$$

where

$$\text{rank } E' = \frac{r}{\Delta}, \, \chi(E') = \chi(E'') = \frac{\chi}{a}.$$

In particular, $\Delta | r$ hence Δ is coprime to a. Thus Δ divides b. On the other hand,

$$y''^{\Delta} = 1 \implies y^{\Delta} = p^\star y''^{\Delta} = 1 \implies b | \Delta.$$

Hence $\Delta = b$. Now, the preimage of y under p contains at most one element of order b since the preimage of 0 contains at most one such element. Indeed, $p^{-1}(0)$ consists only in multiples of x'' which all have order a. This fixes y'' and therefore the maps π and p uniquely. Furthermore

$$E = p^{\star}\pi_{\star}E', \text{ rank } E' = \frac{r}{b}, \chi(E') = \frac{\chi}{a}.$$

We claim that there are $(ab)^3$ fixed loci all isomorphic to $K_{v'}(A')$ for

$$v' = \left(\frac{r}{b}, 0, \frac{\chi}{a}\right).$$

This accounts for determinant requirements and possible repetitions. Precisely, we have

$$\det E = p^{\star} \det \pi_{\star} E' = \mathcal{O} \implies \det \pi_{\star} E' \in \langle x'' \rangle.$$

Writing

$$\det E' = \pi^{\star} M''$$

we obtain

$$\pi_{\star} \det E' = \det \pi_{\star}\pi^{\star}M'' = \det \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{b} M'' \otimes y''^{j} \right) = M''^{b} \in \langle x'' \rangle \implies M'' \in \langle x'' \rangle + \widehat{A}''[b]$$

because the order of x'' is a which is coprime to b. Thus

$$\det E' \in \pi^{\star}\langle x'' \rangle + \pi^{\star}\widehat{A}''[b].$$

There are ab^3 choices for the determinant of E', since the torsion point $y'' \in \widehat{A}''[b]$ pulls back trivially to A'. The calculation of the Fourier-Mukai determinant is similar. We have

$$\det \widehat{E} = \det \widehat{p}_{\star} \widehat{\pi}^{\star} \widehat{E}' = \mathcal{O}.$$

Write

$$\widehat{\pi}^{\star} \det \widehat{E}' = \widehat{p}^{\star} M$$

and observe that this gives

$$\det \widehat{p}_{\star} \widehat{p}^{\star} M = M^{a} = \mathcal{O} \implies M \in A[a].$$

This gives a^3 options for $\widehat{p}^{\star}M$ since $x \in A[a]$ pulls back trivially. Finally, this identifies $\det \widehat{E}'$ up to the b elements in the kernel of π:

$$\pi(\det \widehat{E}') = p(M) \in p(A[a]) \implies \det \widehat{E}' \in \pi^{-1}(p(A[a])).$$

We obtain $(ab^3)(a^3b)$ fixed loci. However, this answer does not account for repetitions. We observe that

$$p^{\star}\pi_{\star}E'_1 = p^{\star}\pi_{\star}E'_2 \iff \pi_{\star}E'_1 = \pi_{\star}E'_2 \otimes x''^{\alpha} \iff E'_1 = \beta^{\star}E'_2 \otimes \pi^{\star}x''^{\alpha}, \ \beta \in G'.$$

This leaves only $(ab)^3$ fixed loci. Indeed, via the above equivalence we only obtain b^3 choices for the determinant, if we require

$$\det E' \in \pi^\star \widehat{A}''[b].$$

Similarly, the determinant of the Fourier-Mukai dual can be fixed in a^3 ways. It is easy to see there are no other repetitions.

Step 2. We apply the Lefschetz-Riemann-Roch theorem to calculate the trace in the theorem by summing the contributions from the fixed loci $K_{v'}(A')$. We obtain

$$\mathrm{Trace}(\zeta, H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)) = (ab)^3 \int_{K_{v'}(A')} \mathrm{Todd}\ (K_{v'}(A')) \cdot i^\star \mathrm{ch}_Z(\Theta_w)(\zeta) \cdot \prod_{z \neq 1} \left(\mathrm{ch}_{-\langle \zeta^{-1}, z\rangle} N_z^\vee\right)^{-1}.$$

Here Z is the cyclic group generated by ζ. The notation we used is as follows:

$$i^\star \mathrm{ch}_Z(\Theta_w) \in H_Z^\star(K_{v'}(A')) = \mathrm{Rep}(Z) \otimes H^\star(K_{v'}(A'))$$

is the restriction of the equivariant Chern character via inclusion

$$i : K_{v'} \to K_v,$$

followed by the evaluation against $\zeta \in Z$ in the representation ring. The normal bundle of the inclusion i splits into eigenbundles N_z indexed by elements in the character group $z \in \widehat{Z}$. Finally, we write

$$\mathrm{ch}_t(N) = \prod_i (1 + t e^{x_i})$$

for any bundle N with Chern roots $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell$. The prefactor $(ab)^3$ comes from the fact that all fixed loci will have identical trace contributions.

Step 3. We evaluate the integral above explicitly. We begin by computing the normal bundles N_z in the expression above. We will compute the eigenvalues of the action of ζ on $T_E K_v$. We first consider the similar action on $T_E \mathfrak{M}_v$ and use the morphism

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{M}_v \to A \times \widehat{A}$$

to find the eigenvalues on the fiber.

The tangent space to $\mathfrak{M}_v$ at a fixed point E is

$$\begin{aligned} T_E \mathfrak{M}_v &= \mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(p^\star \pi_\star E', p^\star \pi_\star E') = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi_\star E', \pi_\star E' \otimes p_\star \mathcal{O}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\alpha=0}^{a-1} \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi_\star E', \pi_\star E' \otimes x''^\alpha) = \bigoplus_{\alpha=0}^{a-1} \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi_\star E', \pi_\star(E' \otimes \pi^\star x''^\alpha)) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\alpha=0}^{a-1} \mathrm{Ext}^1(\pi^\star \pi_\star E', E' \otimes \pi^\star x''^\alpha) = \bigoplus_{\alpha=0}^{a-1} \bigoplus_{\beta \in G'} \mathrm{Ext}^1(\beta^\star E', E' \otimes \pi^\star x''^\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$T_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\beta^\star E', E' \otimes x''^{\alpha})$$

are the isotypical components of the tangent space. Indeed, by (iv) in *Step 1*, y acts on each summand via the root of unity $\langle \beta, y\rangle$ of order b. The action of x also leaves the subspace invariant, and the action has weight $\langle x, x''^{\alpha}\rangle$ which is root of unity of order a. As α, β vary, we obtain all the (ab)-roots of unity as eigenvalues.

We calculate the Chern roots of the eigenbundles $T_{\alpha,\beta}$. Clearly, by Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch, the Chern character of the virtual bundles

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2} (-1)^i \mathrm{Ext}^i(\beta^\star E', E' \otimes \pi^\star x''^{\alpha})$$

must stay constant as β and $\pi^\star x''^{\alpha}$ vary in the abelian variety $\widehat{A}'$. Furthermore, for α, β not both trivial we have

$$\mathrm{Ext}^0(\beta^\star E', E' \otimes \pi^\star x''^{\alpha}) = \mathrm{Ext}^2(\beta^\star E', E' \otimes \pi^\star x''^{\alpha}) = 0$$

while for trivial α and β the two dimensions are 1, by stability of E'. Indeed, for nontrivial (α, β), we calculate by duality

$$\mathrm{Ext}^2(\beta^\star E', E' \otimes \pi^\star x''^{\alpha}) = \mathrm{Ext}^0(E' \otimes \pi^\star x''^{\alpha}, \beta^\star E') = \mathrm{Ext}^0((\beta^{-1})^\star E', E' \otimes \pi^\star x''^{-\alpha})$$

so it suffices to prove the statement about Ext^0. This is immediate since any non-zero morphism

$$\beta^\star E' \to E' \otimes \pi^\star x''^{\alpha}$$

is an isomorphism inducing a map

$$\pi_\star \beta^\star E' = \pi_\star E' \to \pi_\star E' \otimes x''^{\alpha}.$$

Comparing determinants, we must have $x''^{\alpha r} = 0 \implies x''^{\alpha} = 0$ since $(r, a) = 1$. Therefore, $\alpha = 0$. Now, using the isomorphism

$$\beta^\star E' \to E'$$

and letting

$$q : A' \to A'/\langle \beta \rangle$$

be the projection determined by β, we obtain that E' is a pullback from the quotient. Evaluating Euler characteristics, we obtain that $\chi(E') = \frac{\chi}{a}$ is divisible by the order of β. But $\mathrm{ord}(\beta) | b$ and $(b, \chi) = 1$, hence $\mathrm{ord}(\beta) = 1$ and $\beta = 1$.

We are now in the position to calculate the normal bundles N_z. Each isotypical component corresponds to a nontrivial pair (α,β). Each isotypical component has dimension $2\frac{r\chi}{ab} := \ell+2$. We just argued the Chern roots are

$$0, 0, x_1, \ldots, x_\ell,$$

with x_i the roots of the tangent bundle of $K_{v'}(A')$. The trivial weights come from the base of the Albanese map.

Step 4. With this understood, we calculate

$$\left(\prod_{z\neq 1} \mathrm{ch}_{-\langle\zeta^{-1},z\rangle} N_z^\vee\right)^{-1} = \prod_{\xi\neq 1}(1-\xi)^{-2}\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(1-\xi e^{-x_i}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\delta^2}\prod_{i=1}^{\ell}\frac{1-e^{-x_i}}{1-e^{-\delta x_i}},$$

where $\xi = \langle\zeta^{-1}, z\rangle$ runs through the non-trivial δ-roots of 1.

We now claim that

$$i_Z^\star\Theta_w \cong \Theta_{w'}^\delta,$$

where the last bundle carries a trivial Z-linearization. Indeed, let $\Theta'' \to A''$ be the polarization such that

$$p^\star\Theta'' = \Theta^a \implies \chi(\Theta'') = a.$$

Also, write $\Theta' = \pi^\star\Theta''$, hence $\chi(\Theta') = ab$. We introduce the Mukai vectors

$$w'' = \left(r', \frac{k}{a}\Theta'', \frac{\chi'}{a}\right) \text{ over } A'', \text{ and}$$

$$w' = \left(\frac{r'}{b}, \frac{k}{ab}\Theta', \frac{\chi'}{a}\right) \text{ over } A'.$$

Observe that

$$p^\star w'' = w,\ \pi^\star w'' = bw'.$$

These equalities imply

$$i_1^\star\Theta_w = \Theta_{w''}^a,\ i_2^\star\Theta_{w''} = \Theta_{w'}^b,$$

giving the claim. Here, we factored $i = i_1 \circ i_2$ where

$$i_1 : \mathfrak{M}_{w''}(A'') \to \mathfrak{M}_w(A), \quad i_2 : \mathfrak{M}_{w'}(A') \to \mathfrak{M}_{w''}(A'')$$

are induced by pullback by p and pushforward by π. Finally, the equivariant identification is implied by the discussion ending Subsection 5.2.

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Trace}(\zeta, H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)) &= \delta^3 \int_{K_{v'}(A')} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{x_i}{1-e^{-x_i}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{1-e^{-x_i}}{1-e^{-\delta x_i}} \cdot \frac{1}{\delta^2} \cdot \mathrm{ch}(\Theta_{w'}^{\delta}) \\ &= \delta \int_{K_{v'}(A')} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{x_i}{1-e^{-\delta x_i}} \cdot \mathrm{ch}(\Theta_{w'}^{\delta}) \\ &= \delta\chi(K_{v'}, \Theta_{w'}) = \delta \frac{d_{v'}^2}{d_{v'}+d_{w'}} \binom{d_{v'}+d_{w'}}{d_{v'}}.\end{aligned}$$

The last line follows from the backward application of Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch. The proof is completed by observing that

$$d_{v'} = \frac{d_v}{\delta} \text{ and } d_{w'} = \frac{d_w}{\delta}.$$

□

Example 9. *Stronger statements in rank* 1. We note now that in rank 1 we may remove assumption (**A**.**3**). Assume that

$$v = (1, 0, -n), \;\; w = (1, k\Theta, n).$$

In this case, $K_v \cong K_{n-1}$ is the generalized Kummer variety, and we have a morphism

$$t : K_{n-1} \times A \to A^{[n]}, \;\; (Z, a) \to t_a^{\star} Z.$$

By Example 1, we have

$$\Theta_w = \left(\Theta^k\right)_{[n]} \to K_{n-1}.$$

The theorem establishes that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i \mathrm{Trace}\; \left(\zeta, H^i\left(K_{n-1}, (\Theta^k)_{[n]}\right)\right) = \frac{n^2}{k^2} \binom{k^2/\delta}{n/\delta}.$$

We show that assumption (**A**.**3**) about vanishing of higher cohomology is satisfied for $k \geq 1$. We remarked in Example 2 that

$$\left(\Theta^k\right)_{[n]} \to A^{[n]}$$

has no higher cohomology [Sc]. We claim the analogous vanishing over K_{n-1}. Indeed, note that by the see-saw theorem

$$t^{\star}(\Theta^k)_{[n]} = (\Theta^k)_{[n]} \boxtimes \Theta^{kn}.$$

Letting the group $A[n]$ act on $K_{n-1} \times A$ by translations, and on $A^{[n]}$ trivially, the morphism t becomes $A[n]$-equivariant. Taking cohomology, we obtain

$$\left(H^i(K_{n-1}, (\Theta^k)_{[n]}) \otimes H^0(A, \Theta^{kn})\right)^{A[n]} = H^i(A^{[n]}, (\Theta^k)_{[n]}).$$

Now, when $\gcd(k,n)=h$, so that

$$k=h\bar{k},\ \ n=h\bar{n},$$

the Heisenberg group $\mathsf{H}[n]$ has exactly h^4 irreducible representations S_ℓ, $1\leq \ell\leq h^4$, such that the center acts with weight k. Each such representation has dimension $\bar{n}^2$ [Sch]. Crucially, we have the decomposition

$$H^0(A,\Theta^{kn})=\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{h^4}\mathsf{S}_\ell\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\bar{k}^2}.$$

This can be checked by matching the characters of both sides, for instance using the trace formulas in [O1] and [Sch] respectively. Since the weight of the Heisenberg center on $H^i(K_{n-1},(\Theta^k)_{[n]})$ is $-k$, equivariantly we have

$$H^i(K_{n-1},(\Theta^k)_{[n]})=\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{h^4}\mathsf{S}_\ell^\vee\otimes\mathbb{C}^{a_\ell},$$

for some nonnegative integers a_ℓ. Thus

$$H^i(A^{[n]},(\Theta^k)_{[n]})=\bigoplus_{j,\ell=1}^{h^4}\left(\mathsf{S}_j\otimes\mathsf{S}_\ell^\vee\right)^{A[n]}\otimes\mathbb{C}^{\bar{k}^2a_\ell}=\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{h^4}\mathbb{C}^{\bar{k}^2a_\ell}=0.$$

Therefore $a_\ell=0$, which gives

$$H^i(K_{n-1},(\Theta^k)_{[n]})=0.$$

The precise statement we just proved is

Corollary 3. *When rank (v) = rank $(w)=1$, Theorem 2 is true only under the assumptions*

$$(\mathbf{A})\ \ c_1(v\otimes w)>0\quad \textit{and } (d_v,d_w) \textit{ are odd positive integers.}$$

The same argument applies to rank $v=1$ and rank $w=0$.

Example 10. *New examples from Fourier-Mukai symmetries.* We discuss more examples for which the hypotheses of Theorem 2 can be weakened. These are obtained by applying the Fourier-Mukai functor.

(i) Let

$$v=(r,0,-1),\ \ w=(r,k\Theta,1).$$

Then, any sheaf of type v was shown by Mukai [M1] to take the form

$$E=\Delta(\mathbf{R}\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(I_Z\otimes\mathcal{P}_a))[-1]$$

for $a \in A$ and $Z \subset \widehat{A}$, with Δ denoting the dualization. The requirement that $E \in K_v$ simply means that

$$a(Z) = 0,\ a = 0.$$

Therefore, we have

$$K_v \cong K_{r-1}(\widehat{A}),$$

and $\Theta_w = \Theta_{(-1)^\star F}$ is associated to the locus

$$h^0(E \otimes (-1)^\star F) = h^0(\Delta(\mathbf{R}\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(I_Z))[-1] \otimes (-1)^\star F) = \mathrm{Ext}^0(\mathbf{R}\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(I_Z)[1], \mathbf{R}\widehat{\mathcal{S}} \circ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F)[2])$$
$$= \mathrm{Ext}^1(I_Z, \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F)) = \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F), I_Z)^\vee \neq 0.$$

Letting $\widehat{w}^\vee$ denote the type of $\Delta \circ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(F)$ i.e. $\widehat{w}^\vee = (1, -k\widehat{\Theta}, r)$, we obtain that Θ_w corresponds to $\left(\widehat{\Theta}^{-k}\right)_{[r]}$ over $K_{r-1}(\widehat{A})$ and the preceding argument applies. Therefore, the trace calculation of Theorem 2 is valid in this case as well provided

$$v = (r, 0, -1),\ w = (r, k\Theta, 1),\ c_1(v \otimes w) > 0,\ (d_v, d_w) \text{ are odd.}$$

(ii) Similarly, for the vectors

$$v = (r, \Theta, -1),\ w = (r', k'\Theta, -1),$$

with $2k' = r + r'$, we have

$$E = \mathbf{R}\widehat{\mathcal{S}}(I_Z \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-1})[1]$$

for length $(Z) = r + 1$, [M1]. Under the isomorphism $K_v \cong K_r(\widehat{A})$ the line bundle Θ_w corresponds to $\left(\widehat{\Theta}^{-k'-1}\right)_{[r+1]}$. The argument above applies, yielding Theorem 2 only under the assumptions

$$v = (r, \Theta, -1),\ w = (r', k'\Theta, -1),\ (d_v, d_w) \text{ odd.}$$

(iii) Another set of vectors satisfying our requirements are

$$v = (r, \Theta, -1),\ w = (2, \Theta, 0).$$

The reasoning is as in (ii).

Remark 4. Let us illustrate our trace calculations with a different torsion action on K_v. Assume $(r, k) = 1$ and let

$$a = \gcd(c_1(v \otimes w), d_v).$$

We let a-torsion points $x \in A$ act via

$$E \mapsto t_{rx}^\star E \otimes \Phi(-kx).$$

This action preserves K_v and, as we will see below, the bundle Θ_w.

Lemma 15. *The trace of any a-torsion point $x \in A$ of order exactly δ, acting by translation on K_v, equals*

$$\textit{Trace}\ (x, H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)) = \frac{d_v^2}{d_v + d_w}\binom{d_v/\delta + d_w/\delta}{d_v/\delta}.$$

Proof. For further use, we will prove a slightly more general result. Let $h = (r, k)$ and write

$$r = h\bar{r}, \ \ k = h\bar{k}, \ \ a = \frac{\gcd(c_1(v \otimes w), d_v)}{h}.$$

We will assume $(r, a) = 1$. Consider the morphism

$$\Phi^+ : K_v \times A \to \mathfrak{M}_v^+, \ \ \Phi^+(E, x) = t_{\bar{r}x}^\star E \otimes \Phi(-\bar{k}x)$$

such that its projection onto A equals $\Psi^+(x) = \frac{d_v}{h}x$, see [MO]. We let the group G of $\frac{d_v}{h}$-torsion points of A act on K_v via

$$E \to t_{-\bar{r}x}^\star E \otimes \Phi(\bar{k}x).$$

The same group acts on A by translation, and on $\mathfrak{M}_v^+$ trivially, so that Φ^+ is G-invariant. We have

$$\left(\Phi^+\right)^\star \Theta_w = \Theta_w \boxtimes \mathcal{L}^+,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^+ = \det \mathbf{R}p_! \left((m \circ (1, \bar{r}))^\star E \otimes m^\star \Theta^{-\bar{k}} \otimes q^\star(\Theta^{\bar{k}} \otimes F)\right)^{-1}.$$

Here

$$m : A \times A \to A$$

is the addition, p, q are the projections, $E \in K_v$ and $F \in \mathfrak{M}_w^+$. In [MO], we calculated

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}^+) = \frac{d_v t}{h^2}\Theta,$$

for $t = rk' + r'k$. Arguments similar to those in Section 2 establish the stronger claim

$$\mathcal{L}^+ = \Theta^{\frac{d_v t}{h^2}}.$$

Since $\Theta^{\frac{d_v t}{h^2}}$ is equivariant for the group $A[a]$, the theta bundle $\Theta_w \to K_v$ is $A[a]$-equivariant.

We calculate the trace of an element $x \in A[a]$ over the space $H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)$ via Lefschetz-Riemann-Roch. First, we find the fixed points of the action

$$t_{-\bar{r}x}^\star E \otimes \Phi(\bar{k}x) \cong E.$$

We let

$$F = E \otimes \Theta^\ell$$

where $\bar{r}\ell + \bar{k} \equiv 0 \mod a$. We may pick such an ℓ since $(a, r) = 1$. Therefore, we obtain

$$t^{\star}_{-\bar{r}x} F \cong F \implies t^{\star}_{x} F \cong F$$

using again $(a, r) = 1$. Hence,

$$F = \pi^{\star} E' \implies E = \pi^{\star} E' \otimes \Theta^{-\ell}$$

where

$$\pi : A \to A'$$

is the quotient by x. We let x' be the degree δ line bundle over A' determining the cover. We fix Θ' such that $\pi^{\star}\Theta' = \Theta^{\delta}$. The requirement that E have fixed determinant gives

$$\det E' = \Theta'^{(k+\ell r)/\delta},$$

possibly after tensoring by powers of x'. We have

$$\det \widehat{E} = \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\pi^{\star} E' \otimes \Theta^{-\ell}) = \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\pi^{\star} E') \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-r\ell} = \widehat{\Theta}^{k} \implies \det \widehat{\pi}_{\star} \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E') = \widehat{\Theta}^{r\ell + k}.$$

This implies

$$\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(E') = \widehat{\Theta}'^{(r\ell+k)/\delta} + \widehat{\pi}^{\star} A[\delta].$$

We obtain δ^3 options for the fixed loci, all isomorphic to $K_{v'}(A')$ where

$$v' = \left(r, \frac{k + r\ell}{\delta}\Theta', \frac{\chi + 2k\ell + r\ell^2}{\delta}\right) \implies d_{v'} = \frac{d_v}{\delta}.$$

We imitate the argument in Theorem 2. First, the normal bundles to the fixed loci have weights corresponding to non-trivial roots of 1 of order δ, while the Chern roots of each isotypical component are

$$0, 0, x_1, \ldots, x_\ell,$$

with $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell$ being the roots for $K_{v'}(A')$. The bundle Θ_v over $K_{v'}(A')$ equals $\Theta^{\delta}_{w'}$ for

$$w' = \left(r', \frac{k' - r'l}{\delta}\Theta', \frac{\chi' - 2k'\ell + r'\ell^2}{\delta}\right) \implies d'_w = \frac{d_w}{\delta}.$$

The intersection calculation is now identical to that in Theorem 2. $\square$

Remark 5. The same methods can be used for other torsion actions on the moduli spaces $\mathfrak{M}^{+}_{v}$ and $\mathfrak{M}^{-}_{v}$. We leave a more complete study for future work.

5.4. **The calculation of the Verlinde bundles.** We are now in the position to confirm Conjecture 2 in degree 0, continuing to assume $(\mathbf{A.2})-(\mathbf{A.3})$. We prove

Theorem 3. *We have*

$$\mathbf{E}(v,w)=\left(\mathbf{W}_{-\frac{\chi}{a},\frac{k}{a}}\boxtimes\mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{\frac{r}{b},-\frac{k}{b}}\right)\otimes\mathcal{P}^{-h}\otimes\bigoplus_{\zeta}\ell^{\mathsf{m}_{\zeta}}.$$

The line bundles $\zeta\to\widehat{A}\times A$ have order dividing (a,b). An element ζ of order exactly ω comes with multiplicity

$$\mathsf{m}_{\zeta}=\frac{1}{d_v+d_w}\sum_{\delta|ab}\frac{\delta^4}{(ab)^2}\left\{\frac{ab/\omega}{\delta}\right\}\binom{d_v/\delta+d_w/\delta}{d_v/\delta}.$$

Recall that in the above, for each line bundle ζ of order (a,b) over $A\times\widehat{A}$, we fix a root ℓ such that

$$\left(\frac{\chi}{a},\frac{r}{b}\right)\ell=\zeta.$$

Each ℓ corresponds to a character of $A[-\chi]\times\widehat{A}[r]$ which is uniquely defined only up to characters of $A[-\chi/a]\times\widehat{A}[r/b]$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows the strategy laid out in [O2]. We give the relevant details here. First, we observed in (18) that

$$(-\chi,r)^{\star}\mathbf{E}(v,w)=H^0(K_v,\Theta_w)\otimes(\Theta^{-\chi}\boxtimes\widehat{\Theta}^{-r})^k\otimes\left((-\chi,r)^{\star}\mathcal{P}^{-h}\right).$$

This identifies $\mathbf{E}(v,w)$ up to torsion $(-\chi,r)$-line bundles. In [O2] we observed the following equivariant identifications

$$(-\chi)^{\star}\mathbf{W}_{-\frac{\chi}{a},\frac{k}{a}}=\left(\Theta^{-\chi}\right)^k\boxtimes R_1$$

and

$$r^{\star}\mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{\frac{r}{b},-\frac{k}{b}}=\left(\widehat{\Theta}^{r}\right)^{-k}\boxtimes R_2$$

for R_1 a representation of $\mathsf{H}[-\chi]$ of dimension $(\chi/a)^2$ and central weight $-k$, while R_2 is a representation of $\widehat{\mathsf{H}}[r]$ of dimension $(r/b)^2$ and central weight k. Therefore,

$$(-\chi,r)^{\star}\left(\mathbf{W}_{-\frac{\chi}{a},\frac{k}{a}}\boxtimes\mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{\frac{r}{b},-\frac{k}{b}}\right)=\left(\Theta^{-\chi}\boxtimes\widehat{\Theta}^{-r}\right)^k\boxtimes R$$

where

$$R=R_1\boxtimes R_2$$

is the product representation of $\mathsf{H}[-\chi]\times\widehat{\mathsf{H}}[r]$. It suffices to explain that $\mathsf{H}[-\chi]\times\widehat{\mathsf{H}}[r]$-equivariantly we have

$$H^0(K_v,\Theta_w)=R\otimes\bigoplus_{\zeta}\ell^{\mathsf{m}_{\zeta}}\tag{19}$$

where ℓ runs over the characters of $A[-\chi] \times \widehat{A}[r]$ modulo those which restrict trivially to the subgroup $A\left[-\chi/a\right] \times \widehat{A}[r/b]$.

We make use of the morphism of Theta groups

$$\mathsf{H}[a] \times \widehat{\mathsf{H}}[b] \to \mathsf{H}[-\chi] \times \widehat{\mathsf{H}}[r]$$

which restricts to

$$(\alpha, \beta) \to (\alpha^{-\chi/a}, \beta^{r/b})$$

over the center

$$\mathbb{C}^\star \times \mathbb{C}^\star \hookrightarrow \mathsf{H}[a] \times \widehat{\mathsf{H}}[b].$$

Furthermore, passing to the finite Heisenberg, two $\widetilde{\mathsf{H}}[-\chi] \times \widetilde{\mathsf{H}}[r]$-modules with the central weight $(-k, k)$ are isomorphic if and only if they are isomorphic as representations of the abelian group $A[a] \times \widehat{A}[b]$, see [O2] .Therefore, it suffices to establish the identification (19) equivariantly for the action of $A[a] \times \widehat{A}[b]$ on both sides.

Crucially, it was explained in Section 3.2 of [O1] that R_1 and R_2 are the trivial representations of $A[a]$ and $\widehat{A}[b]$. For ζ of order exactly ω dividing (a, b), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{m}_\zeta &= \frac{1}{\dim R} \cdot \frac{1}{a^4 b^4} \sum_{\pi \in A[a] \times \widehat{A}[b]} \langle \zeta, \pi^{-1} \rangle \text{Trace } \left(\pi, H^0(K_v, \Theta_w)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a^2 b^2} \sum_{\delta | (a,b)} \frac{1}{d_v + d_w} \binom{d_v/\delta + d_w/\delta}{d_v/\delta} \left(\sum_{\text{ord }(\pi) = \delta} \langle \zeta, \pi^{-1} \rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by Lemma 4 of [O1] which gives the sum

$$\sum_{\text{ord }(\pi)=\delta} \langle \zeta, \pi^{-1} \rangle = \delta^4 \left\{ \frac{ab/\omega}{\delta} \right\}.$$

□

Example 11. *Rank* 1. Again, in rank 1, we can remove assumption (**A.3**). Let

$$v = (1, 0, -n), \;\; w = (1, k\Theta, n)$$

with n odd. Then, by Example 1, we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_v \cong A^{[n]} \times \widehat{A}, \;\; \alpha = (-a, 1),$$

and

$$\Theta_w = (\Theta^k)_{[n]} \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-k} \otimes (a, 1)^\star \mathcal{P}.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}(v, w) = a_\star \left((\Theta^k)_{[n]} \right) \boxtimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-k} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{-1}. \tag{20}$$

Theorem 2 is equivalent to

$$a_\star\left((\Theta^k)_{[n]}\right) = \bigoplus_{\zeta} \mathbf{W}_{\frac{n}{a},\frac{k}{a}} \otimes \ell^{\mathsf{m}_\zeta},$$

where ζ are line bundles over A of order ω dividing $a = \gcd(n, k)$, ℓ is a root of ζ of order $\frac{n}{a}$, and

$$\mathsf{m}_\omega = \frac{1}{k^2}\sum_{\delta|a}\frac{\delta^4}{a^2}\begin{Bmatrix}a/\omega\\ \delta\end{Bmatrix}\binom{k^2/\delta}{n/\delta}.$$

In the light of Corollary 3, the requirements needed for this to hold are:

Corollary 4. *Conjecture 2 is true assuming only that*

(**A**) *rank* $(v) =$ *rank* $(w) = 1,\ c_1(v \otimes w) > 0,$ *and* (d_v, d_w) *are odd integers.*

5.5. **Fourier-Mukai symmetries.** We can now prove the Fourier-Mukai comparison, which may be seen as evidence for the strange duality conjecture for abelian surfaces. We establish:

Theorem 4. *When* $c_1(v)$ *and* $c_1(w)$ *are divisible by the ranks* r *and* r'*, we have*

$$\mathbf{E}(v, w)^\vee \cong \widehat{\mathbf{E}(w, v)}.$$

Proof. The proof is immediate. We showed in Lemma 13 that the theorem is implied by Conjecture 2. However, we already proved the Conjecture under our assumptions. Indeed, Theorem 3 takes care of the degree 0 case. We reduce to degree 0 after tensoring by line bundles, which is possible since c_1 is divisible by the rank. The conjecture is still true by Lemma 14. ☐

In general, the theorem assumes that (**A**.**1**) – (**A**.**3**) are satisfied. However, in rank 1, these assumptions are not necessary. Indeed, Corollary 4 gives:

Corollary 5. *Theorem 4 holds when*

(**A**) *rank*$(v) =$ *rank* $(w) = 1,\ c_1(v \otimes w) > 0,$ *and* (d_v, d_w) *are odd integers.*

5.6. **Variants.** For arbitrary vectors

$$v = (r, k\Theta, \chi),\ \ w = (r', k'\Theta, \chi'),$$

we may also pushforward the theta bundles via the morphisms

$$\alpha^+ : \mathfrak{M}_v^+ \to A,\ \ \alpha^- : \mathfrak{M}_v^- \to \widehat{A}.$$

This paper does not investigate the Verlinde bundles

$$\mathbf{E}^+(v, w) = \alpha_\star^+\Theta_w,\ \mathbf{E}^-(v, w) = \alpha_\star^-\Theta_w$$

in much detail, leaving a thorougher study to the interested reader. However, we will briefly consider two representative cases:

(i) $c_1(v)$ is divisible by the rank r;
(ii) $c_1(v)$ is coprime to the rank r.

In both cases, we write $c_1(v \otimes w) = t\Theta$, so that $t = rk' + r'k$, and set

$$(r, k) = h, \ \ (t, d_v) = ha.$$

For each line bundle ζ over A, we pick a root ℓ such that

$$\frac{d_v}{ha}\ell = \zeta.$$

Theorem 3+. *We have*

$$\mathbf{E}^+(v, w) = \mathbf{W}_{\frac{d_v}{ha}, \frac{t}{ha}} \otimes \bigoplus_{\zeta} \ell^{\mathsf{m}_\zeta^+}.$$

The line bundles $\zeta \in \widehat{A}$ have order dividing a. An element ζ of order exactly ω comes with multiplicity

$$\mathsf{m}_\zeta^+ = \frac{(ha)^2}{d_v + d_w} \sum_{\delta | a} \left(\frac{\delta}{a}\right)^4 \left\{\frac{a/\omega}{\delta}\right\} \binom{d_v/\delta + d_w/\delta}{d_v/\delta}.$$

Proof. In case (i), we can prove this statement using the calculation of the bundles $\mathbf{E}(v, w)$ in Theorem 3, by restriction and simple combinatorial manipulations. A direct proof, which works for (i) and (ii) simultaneously, uses the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} K_v \times A \arrow[r, "\tau^+"] \arrow[d, "p"] & \mathfrak{M}_v^+ \arrow[d, "\alpha^+"] \\ A \arrow[r, "\Psi^+"] & A \end{tikzcd}$$

where

$$\tau^+(E, x) = t_{\bar{r}x}^\star E \otimes \Phi(-\bar{k}x), \ \ \Psi^+(x) = \frac{d_v}{h}x.$$

Here we wrote $r = h\bar{r}$ and $k = h\bar{k}$. We observed in Lemma 15 that

$$\left(\tau^+\right)^\star \Theta_w = \Theta_w \boxtimes \Theta^{\frac{d_v t}{h^2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\Psi^+\right)^\star \mathbf{E}^+(v, w) \cong H^0(K_v, \Theta_w) \boxtimes \Theta^{\frac{d_v t}{h^2}}.$$

Since

$$\left(\frac{d_v}{h}\right)^\star \mathbf{W}_{\frac{d_v}{ha}, \frac{t}{ha}} \cong \Theta^{\frac{d_v t}{h^2}} \boxtimes R_1,$$

for a representation R_1 of the Heisenberg group $\mathsf{H}\left[\frac{d_v}{h}\right]$ of dimension $(d_v/ha)^2$, we conclude

$$\mathbf{E}^+(v,w) \cong \mathbf{W}_{\frac{d_v}{ha},\frac{t}{ha}} \otimes \bigoplus \ell^{\mathsf{m}_\zeta^+}$$

where the multiplicities m_ζ^+ of the characters of order dividing a are found via the trace calculation of Lemma 15. Note that the proof of the Lemma applies to both cases (i) and (ii) since $(r,a)=1$. □

Remark 6. The Verlinde bundles $\mathbf{E}^+(v,w)$ and $\mathbf{E}^+(w,v)$ should also be related by Fourier-Mukai symmetries. For instance, we expect that

$$r'^{\star}\widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(v,w)} \cong r^{\star}\widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(w,v)}^{\vee} \otimes \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\det(v\otimes w)). \tag{21}$$

To support this claim, consider the defining equation of the theta locus

$$\Theta = \{(E,F,y) : h^0(E\otimes F\otimes y)\neq 0\} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_v^+ \times \mathfrak{M}_w^+ \times \widehat{A}.$$

Corollary 1 and the see-saw principle are used to show that Θ corresponds to the line bundle

$$\Theta_w \boxtimes \Theta_v \boxtimes (\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\det(v\otimes w)))^{\vee} \otimes (\alpha,1)^{\star}\mathcal{P}$$

where

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{M}_v^+ \times \mathfrak{M}_w^+ \to A,\ \ \alpha = -r'\alpha_v^+ - r\alpha_w^+.$$

The pushforward of the theta section via the projection

$$\mathfrak{M}_v^+ \times \mathfrak{M}_w^+ \times \widehat{A} \to \widehat{A}$$

gives a morphism between the bundles in (21). Indeed, an intermediate pushforward via

$$(\alpha_v,\alpha_w,1) : \mathfrak{M}_v^+ \times \mathfrak{M}_w^+ \times \widehat{A} \to A\times A\times \widehat{A}$$

yields a section of the bundle

$$\mathbf{E}(v,w) \boxtimes \mathbf{E}(w,v) \boxtimes (\det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\det(v\otimes w)))^{\vee} \otimes (m,1)^{\star}\mathcal{P}$$

where

$$m : A\times A\to A,\ \ m(a,a') = -r'a - ra'.$$

A further pushforward to $\widehat{A}$ gives the morphism (21), which should conjecturally be an isomorphism. Its fiber over the origin agrees with the strange duality map of [MO]:

$$\mathsf{SD}^+ : H^0(\mathfrak{M}_v^+,\Theta_w)^{\vee} \to H^0(\mathfrak{M}_w^+,\Theta_v),$$

which would consequently also be an isomorphism.

It should be possible to check that the bundles in (21) are abstractly isomorphic via Conjecture 2. We present below an argument independent of the conjecture when either (i) or (ii) is satisfied.

Theorem 4. (+)(i) *When $c_1(v)$ and $c_1(w)$ are divisible by the ranks r and r', and the slope*

$$\mu(v)+\mu(w)=p\Theta,$$

we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(v,w)}\cong \widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(w,v)}^{\vee}\otimes\widehat{\Theta}^p.$$

Proof. Write

$$v=(r,rq\Theta,\chi),\ w=(r',r's\Theta,\chi')$$

so that by orthogonality

$$2rr'qs=-r\chi'-r'\chi \implies r|r' \text{ and } r'|r \implies r=r'.$$

Therefore

$$\chi+\chi'=-2rqs.$$

We have

$$\frac{d_v}{r}=rq^2-\chi,\ \frac{d_w}{r}=rs^2-\chi' \implies \frac{d_v}{r}+\frac{d_w}{r}=r(q+s)^2.$$

We note

$$a=\gcd\left(\frac{d_v}{r},rs+rq\right)=\gcd\left(\frac{d_w}{r},rq+rs\right).$$

Theorem 3+ yields

$$\mathbf{E}^+(v,w)=\mathbf{W}_{\frac{d_v}{ra},\frac{r(s+q)}{a}}\otimes\bigoplus_{\zeta}\ell^{\mathsf{m}_\zeta^+} \implies \widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(v,w)}=\bigoplus\left(t_\ell^\star\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{r(s+q)}{a},\frac{d_v}{ra}}\right)^{\mathsf{m}_\zeta^+}.$$

This uses the fact established in [O2] that

$$\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,b}=\widehat{\mathbf{W}_{b,a}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbf{E}^+(w,v)=\mathbf{W}_{\frac{d_w}{ra},\frac{r(s+q)}{a}}\otimes\bigoplus_{\zeta}\ell'^{\mathsf{m}_\zeta^+}$$

where

$$\frac{d_w}{ra}\ell'=-\zeta=-\frac{d_v}{ra}\ell.$$

We claim that

$$t_{\ell'}^\star\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{r(q+s)}{a},\frac{d_w}{ra}}=t_\ell^\star\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{r(q+s)}{a},-\frac{d_v}{ra}}\otimes\widehat{\Theta}^{q+s}.$$

Indeed, more generally, changing notation slightly, for any integers a,b,c such that $a|b+c$ and ℓ,ℓ' such that

$$b\ell'=-c\ell$$

we have

$$t_{\ell'}^\star\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,b}=t_\ell^\star\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,-c}\otimes\widehat{\Theta}^{\frac{b+c}{a}}.$$

To see this, we note that in [O2], we established the isomorphism

$$t^\star_{a\alpha}\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,b} = \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,b} \otimes \alpha^b.$$

Write

$$\ell = a\alpha, \;\; \ell' = a\alpha' \implies a(b\alpha' + c\alpha) = 0 \implies b\alpha' + c\alpha \in \Sigma(\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,b}) = A[a].$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} t^\star_{\ell'}\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,b} &= t^\star_{a\alpha'}\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,b} = \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,b} \otimes \alpha'^b = \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,b} \otimes \alpha^{-c} \\ &= \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,-c} \otimes \alpha^{-c} \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{\frac{b+c}{a}} = t^\star_{a\alpha}\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,-c} \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{\frac{b+c}{a}} \\ &= t^\star_{\ell}\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{a,-c} \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{\frac{b+c}{a}}. \end{aligned}$$

Reverting to the proof, we immediately obtain

$$\widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(w,v)} = \bigoplus_{\zeta} \left(t^\star_{\ell'}\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{r(q+s)}{a}, \frac{d_w}{ra}} \right)^{\mathsf{m}^+_\zeta} = \bigoplus_{\zeta} \left(t^\star_{\ell}\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{r(q+s)}{a}, -\frac{d_v}{ra}} \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{q+s} \right)^{\mathsf{m}^+_\zeta}$$
$$= \widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(v,w)}^\vee \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{q+s}.$$

□

Remark 7. The theorem can be more elegantly rephrased in terms of the modified Verlinde bundles

$$\mathbf{F}^+(v,w) = \widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(v,w)} \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^{-\mu(v)}.$$

We have the symmetry:

$$\mathbf{F}^+(v,w) = \mathbf{F}^+(w,v)^\vee.$$

Theorem 4. (+)(ii) *Assume* $(k,r) = (k',r') = 1$. *Then the isomorphism*

$$r'^\star\widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(v,w)} \cong r^\star\widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(w,v)}^\vee \otimes \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\det(v\otimes w))$$

holds.

Proof. Note first that the conditions $(r,k) = (r',k') = 1$ and the orthogonality $2kk' = -r\chi' - r'\chi$ imply that $(r,r') = 1$. This in turn gives

$$(r,t) = (r',t) = 1,$$

for $t = rk' + r'k$. The equality

$$r'^2 d_v + r^2 d_w = t^2 \tag{22}$$

implies

$$(d_w,t) = (d_v,t) = a.$$

Theorem 3+ gives the expression of the Verlinde bundles

$$\mathbf{E}^+_{v,w} = \mathbf{W}_{\frac{d_v}{a},\frac{t}{a}} \otimes \bigoplus_{\zeta} \ell^{\mathsf{m}^+_{\zeta}}.$$

Here ζ are a-torsion line bundle over A and $\frac{d_v}{a}\ell = \zeta$. We have

$$r'^\star \widehat{\mathbf{E}^+_{v,w}} = \bigoplus_{\zeta} r'^\star \left(t_\ell^\star \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{t}{a},\frac{d_v}{a}} \right)^{\mathsf{m}^+_{\zeta}}.$$

Write $\ell = \frac{t}{a}x$ so that $\frac{d_v t}{a^2}x = \zeta$, and calculate

$$r'^\star \left(t_\ell^\star \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{t}{a},\frac{d_v}{a}} \right) = r'^\star \left(\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{t}{a},\frac{d_v}{a}} \otimes x^{\frac{d_v}{a}} \right) = \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{t}{a},\frac{r'^2 d_v}{a}} \otimes x^{\frac{r' d_v}{a}}.$$

In a similar fashion, we obtain the pullback

$$r^\star \widehat{\mathbf{E}^+_{w,v}} = \bigoplus_{\zeta'} \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{t}{a},\frac{r^2 d_w}{a}} \otimes y^{\frac{r d_w}{a}}$$

where $\frac{t d_w}{a^2}y = \zeta'$ and ζ' is an a-torsion point. We match the terms corresponding to pairs (ζ, ζ') where

$$r\zeta' + r'\zeta = 0,$$

e.g. $\zeta = r\eta$, $\zeta' = -r'\eta$ for $\eta \in A[a]$. Note that

$$\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{t}{a},\frac{r'^2 d_v}{a}} = \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{t}{a},-\frac{r^2 d_w}{a}} \otimes \widehat{\Theta}^t$$

by comparing slopes via (22) and using the uniqueness of the semihomogeneous bundles. It remains to explain that

$$\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{t}{a},\frac{r'^2 d_v}{a}} \otimes x^{\frac{r' d_v}{a}} = \mathbf{W}^\dagger_{\frac{t}{a},\frac{r'^2 d_v}{a}} \otimes y^{\frac{-r d_w}{a}},$$

which is true provided

$$\frac{r' d_v}{a}x + \frac{r d_w}{a}y \in A\left[\frac{t}{a}\right].$$

This last claim is a direct verification. □

Corollary 6. *When* $d_v = 1$ *and* $(r', k') = 1$*, the strange duality morphism*

$$H^0(\mathfrak{M}^+_v, \Theta_w)^\vee \to H^0(\mathfrak{M}^+_w, \Theta_v)$$

is an isomorphism or zero.

Proof. The proof is identical to that of Theorem 5. Strange duality

$$r'^\star \widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(v,w)} \cong r^\star \widehat{\mathbf{E}^+(w,v)} \otimes \det \mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\det(v \otimes w))$$

is morphism of stable bundles, in fact both isomorphic to $\mathbf{W}^\dagger_{t,r'^2}$, hence it must be either an isomorphism or zero. It suffices to take the fiber over the origin to conclude. □

For the remaining bundles $\mathbf{E}^-(v,w)$ we content to consider the case

$$v=(r,0,\chi),\ w=(rh,k,-\chi h).$$

Writing $b=(r,k)$, we have

Theorem 3-. *We have*

$$\mathbf{E}^-(v,w)=\mathbf{W}^{\dagger}_{\frac{r}{b},-\frac{k}{b}}\otimes\bigoplus_{\zeta}\ell^{\mathsf{m}^-_{\zeta}}.$$

The line bundles $\zeta\in A$ have order dividing b, and ℓ are choices of roots of ζ of order $\frac{r}{b}$. An element ζ of order exactly ω comes with multiplicity

$$\mathsf{m}^-_{\zeta}=\frac{\chi^2}{d_v+d_w}\sum_{\delta|b}\frac{\delta^4}{b^2}\left\{\frac{b/\omega}{\delta}\right\}\binom{d_v/\delta+d_w/\delta}{d_v/\delta}.$$

Remark 8. When $c_1(v)$ and $c_1(w)$ are divisible by the Euler characteristics χ and χ', writing

$$\mu(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(v))+\mu(\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(w))=p\widehat{\Theta},$$

we have

$$\widehat{\mathbf{E}^-(v,w)}\cong\widehat{\mathbf{E}^-(w,v)}^{\vee}\otimes\Theta^p.$$

Similarly, in full generality, we expect the isomorphism

$$\chi'^{\star}\widehat{\mathbf{E}^-(v,w)}\cong\chi^{\star}\widehat{\mathbf{E}^-(w,v)}^{\vee}\otimes\det\mathbf{R}\mathcal{S}(\det(\widehat{v}\otimes\widehat{w})).$$

Appendix: Torsion points on abelian varieties

In this appendix, we collect elementary arithmetic results used repeatedly throughout the paper. We begin by proving:

Lemma 16. *Assume (a,b,c,d) are coprime integers with $b^2\equiv ac \mod d$. We can find (m,n) coprime integers such that*

$$cm\equiv bn\mod d,\ \ bm\equiv an\mod d.$$

Proof. We prove that $m=(a,b,d)m'$ and $n=(b,c,d)$ work for an appropriate choice of m'. We have

$$cm=bn\mod d\iff c(a,b,d)m'\equiv b(b,c,d)\mod d$$
$$\iff\frac{c}{(b,c,d)}m'\equiv\frac{b}{(a,b,d)}\mod\frac{d}{(b,ac,d)}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$bm\equiv an\mod d\iff b(a,b,d)m'\equiv a(b,c,d)\mod d$$

$$\iff \frac{b}{(b,c,d)}m' \equiv \frac{a}{(a,b,d)} \mod \frac{d}{(b,ac,d)}.$$

We can find B, C and D such that

$$bB + cC + dD = (b,c,d).$$

We let

$$m' = \frac{bC + aB}{(a,b,d)} \mod \frac{d}{(b,ac,d)}.$$

The condition $b^2 \equiv ac \mod d$ is used to check that the pair (m,n) thus obtained satisfies both congruences.

It suffices to show we can find m' such that $(m',(b,c,d)) = 1$. This can be arranged provided

$$\left(\frac{bC+aB}{(a,b,d)}, \frac{d}{(b,ac,d)}, (b,c,d)\right) = 1.$$

This is indeed the case, since if p is a prime dividing all three numbers above, we can find $\beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 1$ such that

$$p^\beta || b, \ \ p^\gamma || c, \ \ p^\delta || d.$$

We must have $(p,a) = 1$ because $\gcd(a,b,c,d) = 1$. Since

$$p | bC + aB \implies p | aB \implies p | B.$$

Moreover

$$p \Big| \frac{d}{(b,ac,d)} \implies \delta > \min(\beta,\gamma).$$

Now,

$$b^2 \equiv ac \mod d \implies p^\delta | b^2 - ac \implies \beta < \gamma.$$

Indeed, if $\beta \geq \gamma$, we have $\delta \geq \gamma + 1$ and $2\beta \geq \gamma + 1$. But then p^δ does not divide $b^2 - ac$. Thus

$$\delta > \beta, \gamma > \beta.$$

Finally,

$$p^\beta || (b,c,d) = bB + cC + dD$$

but the right hand side is divisible by $p^{\beta+1}$ since c, d are, and B is divisible by p. This contradiction completes the proof. ☐

Lemma 17. *Assume a,b,c,d are integers such that*

$$b^2 \equiv ac \mod d,$$

and

$$\gcd\left(a,c,d,\frac{b^2-ac}{d}\right) = 1.$$

Then, we can find exactly d^4 *pairs* $(x, y) \in A[d] \times A[d]$ *such that*

$$ax = by, \ \ cy = bx.$$

Proof. We prove the Lemma in several steps. Fix a, b, c. We let $\mathcal{S}_d$ be the set of solutions of the equations

$$ax = by, \ \ bx = cy, \ \ dx = dy = 0,$$

and let us write

$$s_d = \#\mathcal{S}_d.$$

We show that $s_d = d^4$.

Step 1. We prove s_d is multiplicative. Assume $d = d_1 d_2$ with $(d_1, d_2) = 1$. Note that

$$\left(a, c, d, \frac{ac - b^2}{d}\right) = 1 \implies \left(a, c, d_i, \frac{ac - b^2}{d_i}\right) = 1, \ \ 1 \leq i \leq 2.$$

We claim that

$$\mathcal{S}_d \to \mathcal{S}_{d_1} \times \mathcal{S}_{d_2} \ \ (x, y) \to ((d_2 x, d_2 y), (d_1 x, d_1 y))$$

is a bijection. Indeed, if we pick A and B such that

$$Ad_1 + Bd_2 = 1,$$

an inverse is given by

$$((z_1, w_1), (z_2, w_2)) \to (Bz_1 + Az_2, Bw_1 + Aw_2).$$

We conclude that

$$s_d = s_{d_1} s_{d_2}.$$

Step 2: We prove the lemma when $(a, b, c, d) = 1$. In fact, it suffices to assume $d = p^\delta$ for some prime p, by the step above.

We pick m and n as in Lemma 16:

$$am \equiv bn \mod d, \ \ cn \equiv bm \mod d.$$

Since $(m, n) = 1$ then either

$$(m, d) = 1 \text{ or } (n, d) = 1.$$

Without loss of generality assume the former case holds, and write

$$x = mz, \ \ \text{for } z \in A[d].$$

Set $w = y - nz \in A[d]$. Clearly, we have

$$bw = b(y - nz) = by - amz = by - ax = 0,$$

$$cw = c(y - nz) = cy - bmz = cy - bx = 0,$$

$$dw = 0.$$

We conclude $(b, c, d)w = 0$. Now, the mapping

$$A[d] \times A[(b, c, d)] \to \mathcal{S}_d, (z, w) \to (mz, nz + w)$$

is surjective and its kernel consists in pairs (z, w) with

$$mz = 0, nz + w = 0.$$

Since $(m, n) = 1$, writing $1 = mA + nB$, we have

$$z = -Bw.$$

Thus the kernel of the map is isomorphic to $A[(b, c, d)]$, showing $s_d = d^4$.

Step 3: Next we prove the statement when $d = b^2 - ac$. Set $e = (a, b, c)$ and write

$$a = ea',\ b = eb',\ c = ec',\ \ (a', b', c') = 1.$$

We need to solve

$$a'x - b'y = \alpha,\ \ b'x - c'y = \beta,$$

where $(\alpha, \beta) \in A[e]$ runs over all $e^8 = e^4 \cdot e^4$ pairs of e-torsion points on A.

We consider the morphism

$$f : A \times A \to A \times A,$$

given by

$$f(x, y) = (a'x - b'y, b'x - c'y).$$

By the previous step, we know the kernel of f has $(a'c' - b'^2)^4$ elements since $(a', b', c') = 1$. Therefore, for each of the e^8 choices of pairs (α, β), the equation

$$f(x, y) = (\alpha, \beta)$$

has $(a'c' - b'^2)^4$ solutions. We obtain

$$e^8(a'c' - b'^2)^4 = (ac - b^2)^4 = d^4$$

possibilities for (x, y).

Step 4. We claim that for each d as in the statement of the lemma, we have

$$s_d \leq d^4.$$

By the first step, it suffices then to assume $d = p^\delta$, for some prime p. We will induct on δ, the base case $\delta = 0$ being clear. Let $\delta \geq 2$, the case $\delta = 1$ being in fact simpler.

We may assume p divides a, b, c since otherwise $(a, b, c, d) = 1$, a case which has already been discussed. Write

$$a = pa', b = pb', c = pc'$$

and note that

$$d|ac-b^2=p^2(a'c'-b'^2) \implies p^{\delta-2}|a'c'-b'^2.$$

Write $d'=p^{\delta-2}$. Since $(a,c,d,\frac{ac-b^2}{d})=1$ we know that $a'c'-b'^2$ is not divisible by $p^{\delta-1}$. In particular

$$d'|a'c'-b'^2, \text{ and } \left(a',c',d',\frac{a'c'-b'^2}{d'}\right)=1.$$

Furthermore,

$$(d,a'c'-b'^2)=p^{\delta-2}=d',$$

so we can write

$$d'=dU+(b'^2-a'c')V.$$

For pairs $(x,y)\in\mathcal{S}_d$, we must have

$$a'x=b'y+\alpha,\quad b'x=c'y+\beta,\quad dx=dy=0,$$

where $(\alpha,\beta)\in A[p]\times A[p]$. In fact, we obtain

$$(b'^2-a'c')x=b'\beta-c'\alpha,\ dx=0 \implies d'x=V(b'\beta-c'\alpha).$$

For each one of the $p^4\cdot p^4=p^8$ pairs (α,β), we have at most $(d')^4$ choices for (x,y) solving

$$a'x=b'y+\alpha,\ b'x=c'y+\beta,\ d'x=V(b'\beta-c'\alpha),\ d'y=U(a'\beta-b'\alpha).$$

Indeed, if a solution (x_0,y_0) exists to begin with, then for all the other pairs we have

$$(x-x_0,y-y_0)\in\mathcal{S}_{d'}(a',b',c')$$

which has at most $(d')^4$ elements by induction. Therefore

$$s_d\le p^8d'^4=d^4,$$

as claimed.

Step 5. We can now prove that $s_d=d^4$ for all d as in the lemma. Write

$$ac-b^2=p_1^{\alpha_1}\dots p_r^{\alpha_r}q_1^{\beta_1}\dots q_l^{\beta_l},$$

where the primes $p_1,\dots,p_r$ also divide (a,c). Now clearly d is product of p's and q's, but if p_i divides d then in fact

$$p_i^{\alpha_i}|d,$$

by the gcd condition in the lemma. Thus we may write

$$d=p_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}q_1^{\gamma_1}\cdots q_l^{\gamma_l},\quad \text{for } s\le r.$$

We have already observed that

$$s_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}\le p_i^{4\alpha_i}$$

in the previous step. Also,

$$s_{q_i^{\gamma_i}} = q_i^{4\gamma_i}$$

by the fact that $(a, c, q_i^{\gamma_i}) = 1$ and Step 2. Thus by the first step

$$s_{ac-b^2} = s_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \cdots s_{p_r^{\alpha_r}} \cdot s_{q_1^{\beta_1}} \cdots s_{q_l^{\beta_l}} \leq (p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r} q_1^{\beta} \cdots q_l^{\beta_l})^4 = (ac - b^2)^4.$$

Since we proved in the third step that equality occurs, we conclude that

$$s_{p_i^{\alpha_i}} = p_i^{4\alpha_i}$$

and similarly for the powers $q_i^{\gamma_i}$. By the first step again, we must have $s_d = d^4$.

□

References

[BS] T. Bauer, T. Szemberg, *Higher order embeddings of abelian varieties*, Math. Z. 224 (1997), no. 3, 449–455.

[BNR] A. Beauville, M. S. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan, *Spectral curves and the generalised theta divisor,* J. Reine Angew. Math. 398 (1989), 169–179.

[CG] F. Catanese, L. Göttsche, *d-very-ample line bundles and embeddings of Hilbert schemes of 0-cycles*, Manuscripta Math. 68 (1990), no. 3, 337341.

[DN] J. M. Drézet, M.S. Narasimhan, *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques*, Invent. Math. 97 (1989), no. 1, 53-94.

[EGL] G. Ellingsrud, L. Göttsche, M. Lehn, *On the cobordism class of the Hilbert scheme of a surface,* J. Algebraic Geom. 10 (2001), 81-100.

[HL] D. Huybrechts, M. Lehn, *The geometry of the moduli spaces of sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, E31, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

[Li] J. Li, *Algebraic geometric interpretation of Donaldson's polynomial invariants*, J. Differential Geom. 37 (1993), no. 2, 417–466.

[LP] J. Le Potier, *Fibré déterminant et courbes de saut sur les surfaces algébriques,* Complex Projective Geometry, 213-240, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 179, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[MO] A. Marian, D. Oprea, *Sheaves on abelian surfaces and strange duality*, Math Ann, 343 (2009), 1-33.

[MO2] A. Marian, D. Oprea, *Generic strange duality for $K3$ surfaces*, preprint.

[M1] S. Mukai, *Fourier functor and its application to the moduli of bundles on an abelian variety*, Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, 1987, 515-550.

[M2] S. Mukai, *Semi-homogeneous vector bundles on an Abelian variety*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 18 (1978), no. 2, 239–272.

[NR] S. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan, *Generalised Prym varieties as fixed points*, J. Indian Math. Soc. 39 (1975), no.1, 1–19.

[O1] D. Oprea, *A note on the Verlinde bundles on elliptic curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 362 (2010), 3779-3790.

[O2] D. Oprea, *The Verlinde bundles and the semihomogeneous Wirtinger duality*, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.

[Po] M. Popa, *Verlinde bundles and generalized theta linear series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), no. 5, 1869–1898.

[Pol] A. Polishchuk, *Abelian varieties, theta functions and the Fourier-Mukai transform*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[Sc] L. Scala, *Cohomology of the Hilbert scheme of points on a surface with values in representations of a tautological bundle*, Duke Math J. 150 (2009), no 2, 211-267.

[Sch] J. Schulte, *Harmonic analysis on finite Heisenberg groups*, European J. Combin. 25 (2004), no. 3, 327–338.

[Y1] K. Yoshioka, *Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces*, Math. Ann. 321 (2001), 817-884.
[Y2] K. Yoshioka, *Irreducibility of moduli spaces of vector bundles on $K3$ surfaces*, `arXiv: math/9907001`.

Department of Mathematics

University of California San Diego
E-mail address: `doprea@math.ucsd.edu`